Bengal Secretariat Library Copy.
To be returned when done with.

REPORT

ON

CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

HYDERABAD ASSIGNED DISTRICTS.

FOR

1886.

By Colonel H. C. A. SZCZEPANSKI,
OFFG. JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER, HYDERABAD ASSIGNED DISTRICTS.

Hyderabad:
PRINTED AT THE RESIDENCY GOVERNMENT PRESS.
1887.

Price 1 Rupee per copy.

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY.

CONTENTS.

PART I.—ORIGINAL COURTS—

	Page.
A.—Institution of suits	2
B.—Classification of suits	*ib.*
C.—Value and cost of suits	3
D.—Disposal of suits	4
E.—Original miscellaneous cases	6
F.—Agency for disposal of suits	7
G.—Execution of decrees	8
H.—Witnesses	9
PART II.—SMALL CAUSE COURTS	*ib.*
PART III.—APPELLATE COURTS—	
A.—District Courts	11
B.—Judicial Commissioner's Court	14
PART IV.—GENERAL	15

APPENDICES.

No. 990 of 1887

From

Colonel H. C. A. SZCZEPANSKI,

Officiating Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts,

To

The SECRETARY for BERAR to the RESIDENT,

Hyderabad.

Dated Amraoti, *4th April 1887.*

Sir,

I have the honour to submit, for the Resident's information, the Annual Report on the administration of Civil Justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts for 1886, together with the prescribed statements, and to forward the district reports, which were received in my office on the dates mentioned below, *viz.* :—

1. Ellichpur 2. Basim ..	31st January 1887.
3. Wun 4. Buldana ,	1st February 1887.
5. Akola	7th do.
6. Amraoti	12th do.

Though, speaking generally, there is an improvement over last year as regards punctuality, the reports from Akola and Amraoti were again late by seven and twelve days respectively, and no explanation has been furnished as to the cause of the delay.

Number of tribunals

2. The number of civil courts open at the close of 1886, as compared with 1885, is given below :—

	1885	1886
Court of the Judicial Commissioner, Hyderabad Assigned Districts	1	1
Courts of Civil Judges of the sixth grade	7 .	7
Do. do. fifth do.	10 ..	10
Do. do. fourth do. ...	4 ...	3
Do. do. third do. ..	6 ...	6
Do. do. second do.	2	2
Do. do. first do. .	5 ..	5
Small Cause Courts . .	3 ...	3
Total .	38 ...	37

It will thus be seen that, as regards the number of tribunals open at the close of the year, there is really no difference between the two years 1885 and 1886, the difference of one against courts of Civil Judges of the fourth grade being due to the absence on leave of Mr. Ardesir Dinshaji, Extra Assistant Commissioner.

3. There is no change in the plan of the Report or in the forms of the statements appended to it, and the subjects treated of in the former are arranged in the same order and under the same headings as were adopted last year.

PART I.—Original Courts.

A.—*Institution of Suits.*

Suits instituted

4. The total number of original suits instituted during the year 1886 in all the civil courts of the province was 25,970, as compared with 22,775 in 1885, being 3,195 more than in the preceding year, or an increase of 14 per cent.

5. The annexed table shows the fluctuations in the different classes of civil courts during the past two years :—

Class of courts	1886.	1885.
Chief courts of districts	40 ..	117
District courts	4,529 ...	6,851
Sub-divisional courts	12,870 ...	9,803
Small cause courts	8,531 ...	6,004
Total ...	25,970 ..	22,775

Increase in civil litigation.

6. The increase in civil litigation noted above extends to most districts of the province, and would appear to be no more than a return to the normal state of things, brought about by a fairly good season and the absence of the exceptional circumstances which checked litigation in the three previous years.

7. The following is a list of the district and small cause courts in which the proportion of increase exceeds 10 per cent :—

	Percentage of variations as compared with 1885.
Akola District ...	26·7
Small Cause Court, Khamgaon ...	15·9
Do. Amraoti ...	101·7

8. The Deputy Commissioner, Akola, and the Judge, Small Cause Court, Khamgaon, ascribe the increase to a fairly good season and to work having been carried on uninterruptedly throughout the year.

9. There is a marked increase in litigation in the small cause court at Amraoti, which is attributed partly to the facility which suitors find in the disposal of their cases and partly to the harvest prospects having been all that could be desired.

Decrease in the Wun District.

10. The only district in which there has been any considerable decrease is as follows :—

	Percentage of decrease as compared with 1885.
Wun District	18·8

11. The decrease in the Wun District is said to be due to two courts at the head-quarters of the district having been left without a judge for nearly the whole year.

B.—*Classification of Suits.*

Classification of suits.

12. Imperial Statement No. VII in the Appendix classifies the suits which were instituted during the year 1886. Re-admitted cases and cases transferred are excluded therefrom.

13. The annexed comparative statement exhibits in a more concise form the principal changes which have taken place in the character of civil litigation during the past two years :—

		1886.	1885.	Increase.	Decrease.
Suits for money or movables.	Contracts in writing	14,749	12,594	2,155	...
	Do. not in writing	2,680	2,455	225	...
	On account stated	4,197	3,471	726	...
	Money had and received	160	88	72	...
	Goods sold	610	629	...	19
	Wages, work, and material	192	212	...	20
	Rent not falling under rent law	433	199	234	...
	Movable property or its value	447	731	...	284
	Damages	215	157	58	...
	Other suits for money or movables not already mentioned	217	282	...	65
Title & other suits.	Suits relating to marriage	53	79	...	26
	Immovable property	1,137	1,148	...	11
	Other suits	880	730	150	...
	Total	25,970	22,775	3,620	425

Suits for money due.

14. Of the total number of suits instituted during the year, 22,396, or 86·2 per cent. of the entire number, were for money due. Of these, 14,749 were suits on contracts in writing and 7,647 for money due otherwise than on bonds, the increase in the former class being 19·3 per cent. The increase is only what might be expected from the rise in litigation. It has also been observable for some years past that the great bulk of the litigation is now brought on written documents.

Suits for immovable property

15. Suits for immovable property show a decrease of 11 cases only as compared with the previous year. Of the suits of this class, 756 were either for recovery of possession of fields borne on the Government rent-rolls under Sections 8 and 9 of the Specific Relief Act, or between co-sharers and sub-tenants 180 of the suits shown in column 8 of Provincial Statement No. XXIII have been included in column 23 of Judicial Statement No. VII, and were for the declaration or establishment of rights in survey numbers of land including the right of pre-emption, foreclosure, &c.

The variations in the other classes call for no special notice.

c.—*Value and Cost of Suits.*

Value and cost of suits

16. The subjoined statement regarding the value and cost of suits is an amplification of Imperial Return No. VIII and Provincial Statement No. VI. Cases re-admitted and received by transfer are excluded from both statements:—

Value of suits	Number of suits disposed of.	Aggregate value of suits	Aggregate cost of suits.	Average value of suits.	Average cost of suits	Percentage of cost to value.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Not exceeding Rs. 10	1,772	12,268 10 5	4,920 8 2	7 1 5	2 12 5	40 1 8
Do. „ 50	12,099	3,45,047 9 5	66,923 13 6	28 14 3	5 8 6	19 6 4
Do. „ 100	5,877	4,06,998 13 2	62,688 4 7	70 10 9	10 10 8	15 6 5
Do. „ 500	5,632	10,74,281 4 7	1,42,488 9 5	194 11 7	25 4 10	13 4 3
Do. „ 1,000	550	3,75,689 12 10	43,983 2 10	706 2 11	79 15 6	11 11 4
Do. „ 5,000	223	3,58,228 15 2	41,189 4 10	1,675 9 7	184 11 3	11 9 0
Do. „ 10,000	15	94,841 7 9	8,597 0 6	6,322 12 3	573 2 2	9 1 0
Exceeding „ 10,000	7	1,14,203 8 6	7,847 11 2	16,314 12 8	1,121 1 7	6 13 11
	26,175	27,79,560 1 10	3,78,638 7 0	108 1 2	14 7 5	13 9 11
Suits of which no value can be fixed ...	511	...	12,052 3 1	...	23 9 4	...
Miscellaneous ..	3,082	...	9,618 6 10	...	3 0 2	..
Total ... 1886	29,768	27,79,560 1 10	4,00,309 0 11	108 1 2	13 7 2	14 6 5
Total ... 1885	25,236	23,64,787 9 11	3,38,079 3 1	108 14 0	13 5 10	14 4 9

17. The totals of 1885 having been given for purposes of comparison, it will be seen that in suits of which the money-value is capable of determination the average value has slightly fallen from Rs. 108-14-0 to Rs. 108-1-2, and the total value has increased by Rs. 4,14,772-7-11. This increase is most marked in the lowest classes of suits.

Value of suits.

18. The aggregate cost of suits has risen from Rs. 3,38,079-3-1 in 1885 to Rs. 4,00,309-0-11 in 1886, and the average cost of each suit comes to Rs. 13-7-2. In 1885 it was Rs. 13-5-10, or a difference of annas 1-4 only.

Cost of suits.

19. The percentage of costs to the total value of suits disposed of is again slightly in excess of the preceding year, the figures being Rs. 13-7-2 in 1886, against Rs. 13-5-10 for 1885.

Percentage of cost to value.

D.—*Disposal of Original Suits.*

20. Imperial Statement No. IX submitted as an appendix shows the general result of original civil litigation in the province.

21. Including 2,992 pending from 1885, 558 re-admitted cases, and 1,367 received by transfer, the total number of suits for disposal during the year amounted to 30,687, showing an increase of 9·9 per cent. as compared with 1885.

Suits for disposal.

22. Of the total number on the file, 26,686, or 86·4 per cent., were disposed of during the year. The general results (excluding cases transferred) of the trial of the suits disposed of were :—

Suits disposed of.

Contested.	No. of suits.	Proportion per cent.	Corresponding proportion in 1885.
Judgment given for plaintiff in whole or in part	5,330	20·0	23·1
Defendant in whole	1,296	4·8	4·9
Total ...	6,626	24·8	28·0
Without contest.			
Decreed on confession	9,227	34·6	33·9
Compromised	3,732	13·9	13·5
Decreed *ex parte*	4,559	17·1	15·6
Dismissed *ex parte*	175	0·7	0·7
Total ...	17,693	66·3	63·7
Without trial.			
Dismissed for default	1,941	7·2	6·6
Withdrawn	341	1·3	1·1
Rejected or returned	44	0·2	0·4
Total ...	2,326	8·7	8·1
By arbitration	4	0·2	0·2
Grand total ..	26,686	100	100

23. The percentage of contested cases to the total disposed of has declined from 28·0 to 24·8 per cent. in the year under report. The decrease is attributed in some of the districts to removals and changes in the personnel of judges. It may also in some measure be due to the provincial proportion of suits on written obligations having increased, *i.e.*, the class in which contested suits might be expected to be least common.

Contested cases.

Suits without contest decreed on confession.

24. The percentage of decrees on confession has increased from 33·9 in 1885 to 34·6 in the year under report. The rise is probably due to the increased number of suits on bonds and other contracts in writing during the past year. In these cases the defendant cannot deny the claim. He has either executed a bond or signed an account, and the plaintiff's evidence is so overwhelming that it is useless to deny the liability.

Suits without contest decreed *ex parte*.

25. The number of *ex parte* decrees is 4,559, or 17·1 per cent. This is somewhat higher than last year, when it was 15·6. The matter was discussed in last year's Report, and does not seem to require further notice.

Dismissed for default.

26. The percentage of suits dismissed for default has increased by 0·6 per cent. The Deputy Commissioner, Wun, reports that the increase in his district is due to the parties settling their claims out of court without giving intimation of the fact, which is highly probable.

27. The results under the other heads so nearly correspond as to make comment unnecessary.

Pending file.

28. The pending file at the close of the year was 2,271 cases. Of these, 810 had been pending more than three months; 244 cases of the latter were awaiting disposal in the Amraoti District alone. The following explanation has been submitted by the Deputy Commissioner :—

"It will be observed that the pending file of the head-quarter court and the M court is very heavy.. The civil work suffers by constant changes of officers.

"Dr. Nundy, Honorary Assistant Commissioner, was transferred to Khamgaon, and Mr. Hyder Ali went on leave, so there was no officer to do the civil work below Rs. 500 from the month of November 1886.

"Mr. Nizam-ud-Din, Assistant Commissioner, was doing the cases of the district court as well as a few from the head-quarter file. He had not much time to devote to civil work as he had to take up criminal work during the absence of Mr. Ajudhia Pershad, Extra Assistant Commissioner, on casual leave. Hence the pending file of the head-quarter court is heavy.

"Mr. Ganesh Shrikrishna Khaparde, Extra Assistant Commissioner, Morsi, when transferred to the head-quarters in the beginning of December 1886, was in charge of the treasury, as Mr. Ajudhia Pershad was on leave, and he did some civil work at head-quarters.

"Mr. Safdar Ali Beg, Tahsildar of Murtazapur, was appointed to officiate as an Extra Assistant Commissioner and posted to Morsi, but he had no powers as a civil judge and therefore he could not dispose of any cases during the month of December 1886, and hence the pending file of the Morsi court is very heavy."

Average duration.

29. The average duration of contested cases was 78·2 days and of uncontested cases 36·7 days, against 65·5 and 31·4 in the previous year. In the former class of cases the duration was highest in the chief courts of the following districts :—

Basim	...	...	145·2 days.
Buldana	...	...	116·4 „

High duration, Basim District.

29-A. Regarding the cases of long duration in the Basim District, the subjoined explanation has been furnished :—

"The average duration of the district has increased from 94·4 to 145·2 in contested and from 53 to 75·2 in uncontested cases. This was only to be expected from the large number of old cases left pending from 1885 owing to the paucity of officers in that year.

"The average duration of contested cases in the chief court of the district has risen from 101·1 to 233·2; that of uncontested has fallen from 99·5 to 34 days.

"The reason of increase in contested cases is due to two cases, one of which was filed on 10th October 1884 and disposed of on 18th February 1886. This case was a dispute in Raja Udaram's family, and was eventually decided by arbitration. The arbitrator took a long time to give his award. The delay was fully explained in my letter to you, No. 2219, of 2nd September 1886.

"The other case—also one of 1884—was returned by the Judicial Commissioner during the year. This case was for dissolution of partnership, and a commission had to be appointed to examine the accounts. The Commissioner took a very long time to send in the report. This caused the great delay.

"The duration in the Assistant Commissioner's court in contested cases increased from 96 to 148·8, and in non-contested from 53·9 to 118·6. This high duration is owing to certain two old cases of 1884 and 79 old cases of 1885 having been disposed of during the year under report, and also to Mr. Edulji having had to constantly postpone civil cases owing to criminal work. There were some heavy criminal inquiry cases connected with murder and dakaiti with murder that took up much of Mr. Edulji's time and upset his civil work. For the proper and expeditious working of a civil court, the judge should never have criminal cases.

"In court No. 2, which was presided over by Mr. Suraj Narayan, Attaché, assisted by Mr. Price for part of the year, the average duration of contested cases was 159·3, and uncontested 97·9.

"The high duration is due to 31 old cases of 1884 and 390 old cases of 1885 having been disposed of during 1886. The high duration in contested cases was also increased by the carelessness of Mr. Suraj Narayan in keeping cases pending for months without deciding them after they had been completed, as reported to you in my letter No. 2214 of 31st August 1886.

"In the court of the Tahsildar, Pusad, the duration has increased in contested cases from 105·2 to 112·5, and decreased in non-contested cases from 79·7 to 57·2.

"This latter decrease is due to so many cases having been dismissed for default.

"The increase in contested cases the Tahsildar ascribes to his revenue work often not permitting his taking up civil cases.

"The Tahsildars in this district have far too much civil work thrown on them.

"The average duration has also increased in the Mangrul Tahsildar's court in both contested and uncontested cases from 99·7 to 140 and from 48·5 to 65·6.

"The duration was slightly raised by the suspension of Mr. Kishorkhan, but is principally due to there being more civil cases filed in the Tahsildar's court than he can, without great detriment to his revenue and other duties, dispose of."

High duration, Buldana District.

30. The high duration in Buldana is thus explained by the Deputy Commissioner:—

"The average duration of contested and uncontested cases is higher than what it was in the previous year, being 116·4 for contested cases in 1886, against 102·1 in the previous year, and for non-contested cases 43·5, against 38·2 in 1885.

"The reason of this is doubtless due to the numerous changes of officers during the year, no officer having worked continuously at any one place throughout the year under report. When a new officer assumes charge of a court, it takes at least two or three weeks before the processes issued by him commence to act for the hearing of cases. There were also several old pending cases from my own file which I was unable to dispose of before handing over charge to Mr. Atmaram Bhicaji, Extra Assistant Commissioner, in May last, owing to heavy inherited arrears and to my having been for a long time the only Assistant at head-quarters with charge of the treasury and registration and sub-registration offices in addition to the ordinary duties of an Assistant Commissioner. The duration, which is greater than it should be, would have been still worse had I not disposed of the large number of 1,057 cases in the previous year."

E.—*Original Miscellaneous Cases.*

Result of trial of miscellaneous cases.

31. Imperial Statement No. IX, Part II, shows the result of trial of miscellaneous cases in the various courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts.

Number disposed of.

32. The total number of miscellaneous cases disposed of by civil courts during the year amounted to 3,082, as compared with 2,836 in the previous year.

Details of disposal.

33. Further details of disposal are given in the following comparative statement:—

Disposed of.	1886. No.	1886. Percentage.	1885. No.	1885. Percentage.
Without trial	809	26·3	677	23·9
Without contest	1,125	36·5	917	32·3
With contest and judgment given for plaintiff ...	707	22·9	747	26·3
With contest and judgment given for defendant	441	14·3	495	17·5
Total ...	3,082	100	2,836	100

Description of miscellaneous cases.

34. The cases in question are made up of applications of a miscellaneous nature, such as applications for copies and for review of judgment, &c. Of the without-trial cases in the past year, nearly half were applications returned or rejected. The other figures do not call for any particular remark. Applications connected with execution of decrees will be dealt with in a later section of the Report.

Number pending.

35. The number of applications which remained pending at the close of 1886 was 451, or 12·6 per cent. of the number for disposal.

Average duration.

36. The average duration of contested cases has risen from 48·8 to 70·6 days, and is somewhat excessive, but the amount of business performed during the year was considerable.

v.—*Agency for Disposal of Suits.*

Description of civil work.

37. The subjoined comparative statement shows in greater detail than Imperial Statement No. IX the distribution of work among the different classes of courts, excluding cases decided by the small cause courts and cases transferred:—

No. of officers employed on civil work.		Grade of officers.	Cases decided. Number.		Cases decided. Percentage.		Average number of cases decided by each officer of each grade.	
1886.	1885.		1886.	1885.	1886.	1885.	1886.	1885.
6	6	Deputy Commissioners ...	47	84	0·2	0·5	8	14
8	10	Assistant do. ...	1,196	4,235	6·3	24·2	150	423
3	5	Attachés	1,424	2,116	7·5	12·1	475	423
16	10	Extra Assistant Commissioners ...	13,753	7,949	72·0	45·4	860	795
9	8	Tahsildars	2,680	3,121	14·0	17·8	298	390
42	39	Total ...	19,100	17,505	100	100	455	449

Work disposed of by Deputy Commissioners.

38. Compared with the year 1885, a smaller share of work has fallen to the courts of the Deputy Commissioners. The 47 cases above mentioned were decided by the following Deputy Commissioners:—

Akola	8
Buldana	2
Basim	15
Amraoti	12
Ellichpur	3
Wun	7
Total ...	47

39. These figures appear to call for no remarks. Deputy Commissioners have so many other duties to perform that they cannot be expected to take any more original civil work than the law compels them to undertake. The 12 cases shown against the Amraoti District were decided by Messrs. Elliott and Nizam-ud-Din, who were vested with powers as a District Judge under Notification No. 156, dated 30th September 1870.

By Extra Assistant Commissioners.

40. The most noticeable feature in the statement is that, while Tahsildars have been relieved of judicial work during the year to an increased degree, the amount of work performed by Extra Assistant Commissioners was considerably larger than in the previous year.

By Assistant Commissioners.

41. smaller percentage of civil business was performed by Assistant Commissioners. This decrease is partly due to the fact that a smaller number of civil courts were presided over by officers of this grade.

By Tahsildars.

42. An average of 298 cases was decided by each Tahsildar, or about 25 cases a month. This is below the limit of 30 cases a month prescribed for such courts in the Punjab. In only three tahsil courts did the number of cases tried by the Tahsildar exceed 400. They are—

Mehkar	...	...	...	561
Mangrul	...	...	...	684
Pusad	...	...	...	686

G.—*Execution of Decrees.*

Execution of decrees.

43. The progress in execution of decrees is shown in Imperial Statement No. XI.

Details of disposal.

44. The disposals (21,029) amounted to 79·1 per cent. of the applications (27,702) for execution. The details of disposal for the past two years were as follows:—

	1886.	1885.
Completely executed . .	... 20·1	... 20 6
Partially executed ...	... 17·9	.. 17·6
Struck off without execution ...	... 57·1	... 52·1
Transferred ...	... 4·9	... 9·7

Applications completely executed.

45. The percentage of applications completely executed was relatively most favourable in the Basim District, in which 26·9 per cent. of the decrees put in execution were completely executed.

Applications wholly infructuous.

46. The percentage of wholly infructuous applications is again highest in the Ellichpur District (62·8), and the Deputy Commissioner explains the cause thus:—

"This is due to the fact that judgment creditors often take out executions merely to keep the decrees alive without any hope really of realizing anything. I cannot (*vide* Judicial Commissioner's remarks last year) give any correct reason for the percentage of these cases being in this district so high."

Money decrees.

47. Turning to money decrees, it appears that an aggregate sum of Rs. 2,57,058-6-1 was realized during the year. Details of the manner of realization are given below:

	Rs.	a.	p.
Paid in voluntarily	9,779	15	3
With the issue of process	2,47,278	6	10

Attachment of immovable property

48. There were 2,241 attachments of immovable property, in 50 per cent. of which property was brought to sale.

Attachment of movable property.

49. In 10 per cent. of the cases in which movable property was attached sale followed. In the remainder the mere attachment of the property was sufficient for recovery of the amounts due.

Attachment of land paying revenue to Government.

50. Land paying rent to Government was attached in 1,119 instances, being 5 less than in 1885, and sales were actually effected in 101 cases only. Of the latter, there were 52 instances in which self-acquired, and 49 in which ancestral, property was sold; 69·3 per cent. of these sales took place in the Akola District alone. The district report, however, is silent as regards these holdings.

Civil debtors.

51. There were 156 civil debtors in prison during the year, including 6 remaining from last year. Of these, 139 were released during the year, and 17 were remaining at its close. The largest number of warrants of arrest was issued in the Akola District.

Applications under Chapter XX. Civil Procedure Code.

52. There were 47 applications under Chapter XX of Act X of 1877 for a declaration of insolvency, against 48 in the previous year. Only 8 applications were granted. In 4 cases only was it found necessary to appoint a receiver, and the amount of creditors' claims admitted during the year was Rs. 5,330-14-9. No penal proceedings were taken under Section 359 of the Civil Procedure Code, and 17 persons were declared insolvent and discharged, as compared with 18 persons in 1885.

Court and process fees.

53. The total income on account of court and process fees during the year amounted to Rs. 3,17,429-2-0, including the amount realized in the Judicial Commissioner's Court, against Rs. 3,36,170-13-0 in the previous year. The rise on the present occasion is, of course, due to the increase in litigation.

H.—*Witnesses.*

Attendance of witnesses.

54. The following statement shows the attendance of witnesses during the past year:—

No. of witnesses who attended.	Period of detention.			No. of witnesses examined.
	For one day.	For two days.	For three days and upwards.	
43,193 ...	40,038 ...	2,111 ...	1,044 ...	20,244

55. The number of witnesses who attended the courts was 43,193, or 6,527 more than in 1885. The increase is, no doubt, attributable to the increase in litigation generally.

Detention of witnesses.

56. The highest detention (six days) occurred in the Akola, Amraoti, and Wun Districts. The Deputy Commissioner of the last-named district explains that this was owing to the heavy criminal work in the court of the Deputy Commissioner. The point has been left unnoticed in the report from the Amraoti District, but work has been heavy during the year, and civil witnesses have to wait if a criminal case is brought up, and it often happens that criminal cases come up more than one at a time.

PART II.—Small Cause Courts.

57. The observations already made, as well as the figures given, include the business transacted in the small cause courts in the province. I now proceed to show distinctly the working of these courts during the year.

Suits instituted.

58. The number of suits instituted during the year in these courts was 8,531, showing an increase of 2,527 as compared with the preceding year. The annexed table

shows the fluctuations in the different courts during the past two years :—

Small Cause Courts.	1886.	1885.
Akola	1,918	1,816
Khamgaon	983	848
Amraoti	4,852	2,406
Buldana	251	583
Ellichpur	...	6
Morsi	93	203
Murtazapur	434	142
Total ...	8,531	6,004

Increase in number of suits instituted.

59. The small cause courts in which there has been any considerable increase are as follows :—

	Percentage of increase as compared with 1885.
Khamgaon	15·9
Amraoti	101·7

Small Cause Court, Khamgaon.

60. The Judge, Small Cause Court, Khamgaon, ascribes the increase to a fairly good season and to work having been carried on uninterruptedly throughout the year.

Small Cause Court, Amraoti.

61. There is a marked increase in litigation in the small cause court at Amraoti, which is attributed partly to the facility which suitors find in the disposal of their cases and partly to the harvest prospects having been all that could be desired.

Classification of suits.

62. The following table shows the classification of suits :—

	1886.	1885.	Increase.	Decrease.
Contracts in writing	4,831	3,538	1,293	...
Do. not in writing ...	917	601	316	...
On account stated	1,758	1,042	716	...
Money had and received ...	108	40	68	...
Goods sold	292	284	8	...
Wages, work and material ...	124	127	...	3
Rent not falling under the rent law...	149	76	73	...
Movable property or its value ...	159	157	2	...
Damages	186	69	67	...
Other suits	57	70	...	13

Contracts in writing.

63. The increase under contracts in writing is only what might be expected from the rise in litigation. It has also been observable for some years past that the great bulk of the litigation is now brought on written documents.

Value of suits.

64. The aggregate value of suits disposed of has risen from Rs. 4,05,209-11-11 in 1885 to Rs. 6,14,708-1-6 in 1886, an increase of Rs. 2,09,498-5-7. The average value of each suit was Rs. 73-13-7, against Rs. 69-5-6 last year.

Cost of suits.

65. The average cost of each suit has slightly increased from Rs. 9-7-7 in 1885 to Rs. 9-9-6 in 1886, and the percentage of costs to the total value of suits disposed of has fallen from Rs. 13-11-9 to Rs. 13-1-0. The percentage varied from Rs. 28-10-9 in Buldana to Rs. 12-0-7 in Akola.

Suits for disposal.

66. The total number of cases for disposal by small cause courts, including those pending from the previous year, was 8,975, of which 8,373 were disposed of during the year.

Suits disposed of.

67. The details of disposal are as under:—

	1886.	1885.
Dismissed for default	4·2 ...	3·6
Withdrawn	1·2 ...	1·1
Rejected or returned	0·1 ...	0·4
Compromised	15·7 ...	14·7
Decreed on confession	37· .	34·4
Decreed *ex parte*	21·3 ...	17·
Dismissed *ex parte*	·5 ..	·4
By arbitration	·2 ...	·2
Contested	19·8 ...	28·2

Duration of contested cases.

68. The average duration of contested cases in small cause courts is 25·2 days, which is 2·7 days less than in the previous year. It was highest in the Khamgaon Court (17·2 days). Referring to this, the Judge of that court writes:—

"It has been remarked in the reports of previous years that this court, exercising a mixed jurisdiction, cannot fairly be compared with other courts in the province with regard to the average duration of suits. In addition to this, my predecessor was away from Khamgaon for 44 days, being engaged in revenue work, and for 7 days in September last to try some important criminal cases at Akola, which further took up 15 more days on his return to Khamgaon to complete them. I may again mention here that 16 days were lost owing to the delay in my investiture with the small cause court powers, and these interruptions of the court work have, of course, largely affected the average duration of the cases tried."

Cases pending.

69. The number of cases pending at the close of 1885 was 602, as compared with 386 in the preceding year; of these, only 27 had been pending more than three months.

Applications for execution of decrees.

70. There were 6,557 applications for execution of decrees; of these, 5,843 were thus disposed of during the year: 989 were completely executed, 1,163 were partially executed, and in 3,609 no execution was effected. The remaining 82 cases were transferred.

Applications pending.

71. The number of applications pending was 714, against 760 in the previous year.

Coercive processes.

72. Coercive processes of the following nature were issued in the remaining cases.

Persons imprisoned.

73. There were 31 persons imprisoned during the year, against 13 in the previous year; and of these only 5 remained in jail at its close.

Attachment of movable property.

74. Movable property was attached in 900 cases, but in 490 instances only was actual sale resorted to.

Miscellaneous cases

75. Besides the business detailed above, 347 miscellaneous cases were disposed of other than plaints presented to small cause courts. The number in 1885 was 303.

PART III.—Appellate Courts.

A.—*District Courts.*

Number of appeals for disposal.

76. The number of appeals that came up for disposal before Deputy Commissioners, including those pending at the close of the preceding year, amounted to 433, against 403 in the year previous; of these, 398 were disposed of, leaving a balance of 35 pending at the close of the year.

77. The slight increase in the number of appeals instituted is due to the fact that in the Ellichpur and Basim Districts judges of lower grades were appointed to take the place of those whose decisions were appealable in the court of the Judicial Commissioner. In the Akola District, however, there was a decrease

in the number of appeals instituted, which is accounted for by an increase in the number of rejections under Section 551, Civil Procedure Code.

Manner of disposal

78. The subjoined table shows the proportions of the various methods in which the appeals were disposed of —

Name of Judge against whose decision appeals were preferred	No of cases tried by each officer	Rejected under Section 551 of the Civil Procedure Code		Dismissed for default		Confirmed				Modified		Reversed		Remanded		Total
						Ex parte		After contest								
		No	Per cent	No	Per cent	No	Per cent	No	Per cent	No	Per cent	No	Per cent	No	Per cent	
AKOLA DISTRICT																
Mr Atmaram Bickaji, Extra Assistant Commissioner	693	9	44 4					2	11 1	2	11 1	5	27 8	1	5 6	18
,, Ambadas Sunto Extra Assistant Commissioner Jalgaon	1,147	6	54 5							2	18 2	2	18 2	1	9 1	11
,, Keshee Jevkrishna Extra Assistant Commissioner Akot	443	11	100													11
,, Deorao Jyekrishna Extra Assistant Commissioner Akot	1,294	10	52 6					2	12 5			2	12 5	2	12 5	16
,, Ganesh Bajaji Extra Assistant Commissioner Akot	513															
,, Ardeser Dinshaji Extra Assistant Commissioner Akola	22	2	100													2
,, Kalan ul Hukk Attachi								1	50			1	50			2
,, Jahandar Ali, Honorary Attaché		2	50									2	50			4
Total	3,014	39	60 9					5	7 8	4	6 3	12	18 7	4	6 3	64
BULDANA DISTRICT																
Mr Atmaram Bickaji, Extra Assistant Commissioner	332	4	80					1	20							5
,, R. L. Bowen Extra Assistant Commissioner	62	4	57 1					3	42 8							7
,, Waman Narayan, Extra Assistant Commissioner	250	1	20									4	80			5
,, Ganesh Govind Tahsildar Mehkar	557	4	50					1	12 5			3	37 5			8
Total	1 741	13	52					5	20			7	8			25
BASIM DISTRICT																
Mr Suraj Narayan, Attachi	276	8	18 6	1	2 3			10	23 3	6	13 9	11	25 6	7	16 3	43
,, Price Extra Assistant Commissioner	392	8	42					1	4			7	28	9	36	25
,, Parsharam Ganesh Tahsildar, Pusad	686	9	45					4	20	1	5	3	15	3	15	20
,, Keshere Khan, Tahsildar, Mangrul	648	4	20	1	5			6	30	1	5	4	20	4	20	20
Total	3,702	29	28 8	2	1 9			21	19 5	8	7 4	25	23	23	21 3	108
AMRAOTI DISTRICT																
Dr Nundy Honorary Assistant Commissioner	171	14	17 8					10	27 1	2	5 4	8	21 6	3	8 1	37
Mr Murray, Extra Assistant Commissioner	2							1	50					1	50	2
,, Hyder Ali Attachi	172	1	5 5	1	5 5	1	5 6	7	38 9	3	16 7	5	27 8			18
,, Ganesh Shrikrishna Extra Assistant Commissioner	1 646	14	21 9	1	1 6			20	31 2	11	17 2	7	10 9	11	17 2	64
,, V N Dandekar Extra Assistant Commissioner												1	100			1
,, Alimudin Attachi		1	100													1
,, Krishnarao Anant	3							1	100							1
Total	2,015	30	34 2	2	1 6	1	0 8	39	31 5	16	12 9	21	16 9	15	12 1	124
ELLICHPUR DISTRICT																
Mr S Murray, Extra Assistant Commissioner	276			2	20			1	10	1	10	2	20	4	40	10
,, Manekshah Extra Assistant Commissioner	841	9	60 1							2	18 2	2	18 2	2	18 2	15
,, Hyder Ali Attachi	188	4	66 6					1	16 6	1	16 6					6
Total	1 305	13	41 9	2	6 5			2	6 5	4	12 9	4	12 9	6	19 3	31
WUN DISTRICT																
Mr Ahmudin Attachi	98	1	50											1	50	2
,, Kesharao, Extra Assistant Commissioner	1 459	16	24 4	3	7 5			7	17 1			11	26 8	10	24 4	41
,, Shashachellum, Tahsildar Wun	103													1	100	1
,, Yeshwant Mahadeo Officiating Tahsildar, Wun	248	1	50	1	50											2
Total	1,808	18	26 1	4	8 7			7	15 2			11	23 9	12	26 1	46
Grand Total (1886	13 575	136	34 4	10	2 5	1	2	79	19 9	32	8	60	20 1	60	15 0	398
(1885		67	16 2	19	5 2	6	1 6	118	32 1	42	11 4	80	21 7	36	9 8	368

Appeals rejected and confirmed

79 The proportion of appeals confirmed *ex parte* and after contest has fallen from 38 7 to 20 0. This, of course, is due to the increased number of appeals rejected under Section 551, which has risen from 18 2 to 34 4 The Akola District shows the highest percentage of summary rejections, *viz*, 60 9, while the percentage of Amraoti stands at the comparatively low figure of 24 2.

Appeals pending.

80. The number of appeals pending at the close of the year was the same as in 1885, *viz*, 35, and of these 20 were on the file of the Deputy Commissioner, Basim. The number pending for more than three months being only 2 calls for no remark.

Average duration of appeals.

81. There has been some improvement in the provincial average duration of appeals, as compared with the average of the previous year, the fall being from 63·6 days in 1885 to 55·5 days in the year under report.

The districts which exceeded the provincial average are—

Akola	...	... 67·6 days
Basim	...	... 65·2 „
Amraoti	...	... 59·6 „

82. The Deputy Commissioners of Akola and Amraoti, the two largest districts in the province, attribute the high average duration to the fact that much of their time is occupied in miscellaneous and revenue work, which is always very heavy in those districts. The Deputy Commissioner of Amraoti, in fact, cannot hope to decrease his average duration, as practically he has only eight months in the year for appellate work, four months being spent out in camp, where he does not wish to take his appeals.

83. The average duration in the court of the Deputy Commissioner, Basim, which was 45 days in 1885, rose during the year under report to 65·2 days. The Deputy Commissioner attributes this unsatisfactory result to the necessity there was for a larger number of cases being remanded under Section 566, and which were not returned on the proper dates, and also to the fact that Colonel Thompson, who officiated as Deputy Commissioner for six weeks, did not find time to dispose of more than three appeals.

84. The duration in the court of the Deputy Commissioner, Ellichpur, though not above the provincial average, is still almost as high, being 54·3, against 23·2 in 1885. The Deputy Commissioner's explanation is as follows:—

"The average, which is unduly high, has been run up by 10 out of the 31 appeals having been left untouched by the Officiating Deputy Commissioner (Colonel Thompson) during the three months he officiated here, and which added 763 days to the total. He only found time to dispose of two appeals during his officiation."

85. Contrasted with the figures pertaining to the four districts mentioned above, the average duration in the district courts of Buldana and Wun is remarkably low, being only 14·7 and 27·7 days respectively.

Miscellaneous appeals.

86. During the year 1886 there were 27 miscellaneous appeals for disposal before the district appellate courts, of which 26 were disposed of with the following results:—

	1886.	1885.
Summarily rejected	8	4
Dismissed for default	...	...
Confirmed { *ex parte*	2	2
Confirmed { after contest	5	6
Modified	...	3
Reversed	10	5
Remanded	1	1
Total ...	26	21

Value and cost of appeals.

87. The subjoined comparative table shows the aggregate and average value and cost of appeals decided during the two years 1885 and 1886;—

Nature of appeals decided.	Year.	Aggregate value.	Average value.	Aggregate cost.	Average cost.	Percentage of cost to value.
		Rs a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Appeals from original decrees.	1885	33,683 14 3	96 8 3	5,318 7 4	15 2 5	15 12 8
	1886	40,041 7 11	108 8 3	5,765 3 2	15 7 3	14 6 4
Appeals of which value cannot be fixed.	1885	...	...	216 4 0	12 11 9	...
	1886	...	...	435 9 0	17 6 9	...
Miscellaneous appeals.	1885	...	...	63 8 6	3 0 5	...
	1886	...	...	64 8 0	2 7 8	...

88. The aggregate value of appeals in 1886 was 18·8 per cent. higher than in the year previous, though the average cost was nearly the same; this, of course, has resulted in the percentage of costs to value falling to a slight extent.

B.—*Judicial Commissioner's Court.*

Number of appeals for disposal and number disposed of.

89. Including 26 pending at the commencement of the year, there were in all 256 appeals for disposal in the court of the Judicial Commissioner, against 334 in 1885; of these, 165 were disposed of, leaving a balance of 91 for disposal at the close of the year. The proportion of appeals preferred to the number of cases decided by original and lower appellate courts was lower in 1886 than in 1885. This result must, of course, be attributed to parties in the lower courts being better satisfied with the decisions of the judges than they appear to have been in the year previous. The number of appeals disposed of was much less than during 1885, the decrease being 143. This is due to the comparative frequency of change of the presiding officer, which necessitated appeals being postponed from time to time *sine die*.

Details of disposal.

90. The subjoined table shows the manner in which appeals were disposed of by the Judicial Commissioner:—

Name and designation of officer against whose decision appeals were preferred.	No. of cases tried during the year.	No. of appeals preferred.		Percentage of column 4 on column 2.	No. of appeals disposed of.	Details of disposal.					
		On cases disposed of in past years.	On cases disposed of during the year.			Rejected.	Dismissed for default.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded under Section 562, Cr. P. C.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Colonel FitzGerald, Deputy Commissioner, Akola and Wun	8	1	2	25·	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Colonel Rennick, Deputy Commissioner, Akola, and Assistant Commissioner, Wun	34	0	11	32·4	15	3	...		1	2	7
Mr. E. D. Hare, Assistant Commissioner, Khamgaon ...	132	...	7	5·7	4	2	...	2	...	...	...
,, Yasin Khan, Assistant Commissioner, Akola and Malkapur	306	[illegible]	6	[illegible]	3	...	...	1	...	1	...
,, Purshottumrao, Extra Assistant Commissioner, Akola	101	[illegible]	2	[illegible]	[illegible]	...	...	1	...	[illegible]	...
Colonel Thompson, Assistant Commissioner, Buldana ...	38	...	11	28·9	6	3	1	3	...	1	...
Mr. Waman Narayen, Extra Assistant Commissioner, Malkapur	912	...	3	·3	12	3	...	...	...	...	...
,, H. B. Knowlys, Deputy Commissioner, Basim ...	15	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...
,, Edulji Bymanji, Assistant Commissioner, Basim ...	183	...	11	6·	4	1	...	1	1	...	1
Colonel Bullock, Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti ...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1
Mr. Nicholetts, Assistant Commissioner, Amraoti ...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, Elliot, Assistant Commissioner, Amraoti ...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	1	...
,, Ajudhia Pershad, Extra Assistant Commissioner, Amraoti	158	...	38	24·4	23	13	...	5	2	2	1
,, V. N. Dandekar, Extra Asst. Commr., Murtazapur ...	558	2	30	5·4	32	12	1	8	1	12	3
,, Ramrao Govind, Extra Assistant Commissioner, Murtazapur	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	2	...	1	...
,, Shrikrishna Narhar, Extra Assistant Commissioner, Ellichpur	1,742	5	60	3·4	40	22	...	4	5	8	1
Total ...	4,159	24	182	4·4	133	61	2	26	10	30	14
** SPECIAL APPEALS.											
Deputy Commissioner, Akola	64	1	8	12·5	6	4	...	1	...	1	...
Do. Buldana	25	...	6	24·	2	2	...	...	...	...	...
Do. Basim	108	...	8	7·4	2	...	1	...	...	...	...
Do. Amraoti	124	...	22	17·7	16	10	...	2	...	4	...
Do. Ellichpur	31	...	3	9·7	1	...	...	...	...	1	...
Do. Wun	46	1	1	2·2	2	1	...	...	...	1	...
Total ...	398	2	48	12·	29	21	1	3	...	7	...
Grand Total ...	4,557	26	230	5·	165	82	3	29	10	37	14

91. It will be seen from the total in column 5 that only 4·4 per cent. of the decisions of the lower original courts were appealed against, while the proportion of appeals against appellate decrees was considerably higher. Of appeals against the decisions of original courts, the percentage against the courts presided over by Colonel Rennick, Colonel Thompson, and Mr. Ajudhia Pershad was respectively 32, 29, and 24, while that against the court of Mr. Shrikrishna, who disposed of the largest number of suits in the province, was only 3.

Proportion of appeals to cases decided.

92. Of the 165 appeals disposed of, 82 were summarily rejected under Section 551, Civil Procedure Code, while the number in which the decree of the lower court was confirmed after second hearing amounted to 29. 27 appeals resulted in the lower court's order being reversed, and in 10 cases the original decree was modified.

Cases confirmed, modified, and reversed.

93. Of the 14 cases remanded to the lower courts for further inquiry under Section 562, no less than 7 were decisions passed by Colonel Rennick. This result cannot be said to reflect much credit on the capacity of that officer for patient investigation, considering that it is the outcome of only 15 of the appeals preferred against his decisions.

Cases remanded.

PART IV.—GENERAL.

94. The following statement shows the number of civil cases called up by Deputy Commissioners for inspection from the subordinate courts during the year:—

Inspection of civil cases.

Class of courts.	No. of cases called up including the balance of last year.	No. of cases transferred to Assistant Commissioners for inspection and report.	No. of cases inspected by the Deputy Commissioner himself.	Result of inspection.				No. of cases pending inspection at the close of the year.
				Cases in which procedure was found to be correct.	Cases in which errors were pointed out and communicated to officers concerned.	No. of cases ordered to be admitted under Govt. Notification No. 112-J., 19th July 1878.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Akola ...	200	...	200	178	22	...	200	...
Buldana ...	225	...	225	210	15	...	225	...
Basim ...	678	...	678	420	258	...	678	...
Amraoti ...	236	...	236	220	15	1	236	...
Ellichpur ...	136	...	136	122	14	...	136	...
Wun ...	145	106	39	86	59	...	145	...
Total ... { 1886 ..	1,620	106	1,514	1,236	383	1	1,620	...
Total ... { 1885...	1,141	48	960	784	224	...	1,008	133

95. Like last year, the proportion of cases in which errors were pointed out and communicated to the officers concerned has been the largest in the Basim District. But the Deputy Commissioner explains that the errors consisted for the most part of failure on the part of judges to comply with the provisions of Section 141, and that beyond this there were no serious irregularities discovered in the procedure of the subordinate courts. There is nothing further in the statement calling for remark except that the increase in the number of cases examined by Deputy Commissioners and their Assistants is satisfactory.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H. SZCZEPANSKI,

Officiating Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts.

APPENDICES.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VII.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the number and description of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

Class of Tribunals.	Suits for money or movable property.										Suits under the rent law.								Title and other suit.																		
	Contract in writing.	Contract not in writing.	On account stated.	Money had and received.	Goods sold.	Wages, work, and materials.	Rent not falling under the rent law.	Movable property or value thereof.	Damages.	Breach of contract not mentioned above.	Arrears of rent with or without ejectment or cancelment of lease.	Enhancement or abatement of rent.	Relating to distraint.	Damages for extortion or withholding receipts, or an account of illegal restraint or other cause	For puttahs or kabulayats.	For ejectment or recovery of possession.	For recovery of money or accounts from agent	All other suits under the rent law not included above.	Suits for immovable property.	Suits for declaratory decrees.	Other suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property including pre-emption, foreclosure, &c.	Suits to declare and establish personal rights.	Suits for an account	Suits relating to religious endowments.	Suits to set aside judgments, contracts, or obligations on the ground of fraud.	Suits for dissolution of marriage.	Suits for enforcement of matrimonial rights.	Suits for partition.	Suits relating to shipping.	Suits relating to religion and caste.	Administration suits.	Inter-pleader suits.	Dissolution of partnership.	Suits under Section 261, Act X. of 1865 (also Hindu Wills Act.)	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Grand Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																																					
I.—Civil Courts.																																					
Unpaid Tribunals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Village Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals ..	7,446	1,455	1,873	43	310	58	267	251	65	143	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	512	83	18	200	38	2	...	12	3	24	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	55	12,870
Small Cause Courts ..	4,331	917	1,758	108	292	124	149	159	136	57	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,531
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ..	2,466	307	555	9	7	10	17	36	14	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	620	90	32	209	51	...	...	4	6	17	10	...	...	...	...	1	...	51	4,529
Chief Courts of Districts	6	1	11	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	1	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	2	...	3	40
Total ... 1886 ...	14,749	2,680	4,197	160	610	192	433	447	215	217	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,137	174	51	409	90	3	...	16	9	44	25	...	...	...	...	3	...	109	25,970
Total ... 1885 ...	12,594	2,455	3,471	88	629	212	731	157	282	199	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,148	158	30	327	89	6	1	15	4	75	16	...	1	...	...	6	...	81	22,775

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VIII.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the number and value of suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

Value of suits.	Number of suits instituted in the different Courts.									Total value of suits.			Remarks.
	Not exceeding Rs. 10.	Not exceeding Rs. 50.	Not exceeding Rs. 100.	Not exceeding Rs. 500.	Not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 10,000.	Exceeding Rs. 10,000.	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money.	Rs.	a.	p.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11			12
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.													
I.—Civil Courts.													
Unpaid Tribunals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...			...
Village Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			...
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals	1,030	6,152	3,034	2,241	157	...	...	...	256	9,63,229	4	6	12,870
Small Cause Courts ...	565	4,417	1,794	1,652	103	...	...	...	...	6,41,009	4	7	8,531
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	154	1,260	980	1,514	268	177	...	...	176	9,09,828	15	0	4,529
Chief Courts of Districts	...	...	4	8	1	7	10	6	4	1,92,217	8	1	40
Total ... 1886...	1,749	11,829	5,812	5,415	529	184	10	6	436	27,06,285	0	2	25,970
Total ... 1885...	1,722	10,382	4,956	4,615	404	213	16	6	461	25,85,293	1	2	22,775

NOTE.—The total number of suits should be given in the column of remarks against each Court.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IX.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of Civil Cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

PART I.—CIVIL SUITS.

Class of Courts.	Total No. of suits before the Courts.	Number of suits disposed of.											Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Average duration of suits.		Remarks.
		Transferred to other Courts in the District.	Without trial.	Without contest.				On reference to arbitration.		With contest.		Pending at the close of the year.		Contested.	Uncontested.	
				Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed ex-parte.	Dismissed ex-parte.	For plaintiff.	For defendant.	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or in part.	Judgment for defendant.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																
I.—Civil Courts.																
Unpaid Tribunals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	*The difference in column 2 to the total of figures in columns 3 to 13 is due to different grades of officers succeeding each other and disposing of the pending cases.
Village Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	15,098	...	1,526	1,905	4,009	1,970	102	2	...	2,709	710	1,531	598	65·1	43·7	
Small Cause Courts ...	8,075	...	406	1,314	3,095	1,784	40	13	4	1,354	303	602	27	28·6	20·7	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	*5,380	...	247	508	1,520	803	33	12	6	1,256	277	681	198	93·1	47·5	
Chief Courts of Districts	67	...	17	5	3	2	..	3	...	11	6	20	14	294·3	113·6	
Total ... 1886 ...	29,620	...	2,196	3,732	9,227	4,559	175	31	10	5,330	1,296	2,834	837	78·2	38·7	
Total ... 1885 ...	25,453	...	1,211	3,090	7,621	3,568	151	26	9	5,178	1,114	2,092	919	65·5	34·4	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IX.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of Civil Cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

PART II.—MISCELLANEOUS CASES.—(JUDICIAL.)

Class of Courts.	Total number of cases before the Courts.	Number of cases disposed of.										Pending at the close of the year.	No. of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Average duration of cases contested and uncontested.		Remark
		Transferred to Courts in other Districts.	Without trial.	Without contest.				On reference to arbitration.		With contest.						
				Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed ex-parte.	Dismissed ex-parte.	For plaintiff.	For defendant.	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or in part.	Judgment for defendant.			Contested.	Uncontested.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																* Transferred to Khandesh district.
I.—Civil Courts.																† The entries in column 2 do not tally with the total of entries in columns 3 to 13 as the pending cases on the file of an officer of the district court other than Chief Courts on the districts are shown against the file of an officer of paid Subdivisional Tribunal class.
Unpaid Tribunals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals ...	1,858	...	435	37	298	298	40	...	...	286	210	264	89	97·7	70·4	
Small Cause Courts ...	391	...	112	14	52	36	5	...	...	79	40	44	4	30·7	30·2	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ..	1,164	1	213	19	125	140	41	...	...	323	177	†115	17	54·6	38·9	
Chief Courts of Districts ...	121	...	49	...	2	14	4	...	...	19	5	28	13	53·4	60·6	
Total ... { 1886...	3,534	*1	809	70	477	488	90	...	...	707	441	451	123	70·6	50·8	
{ 1885...	3,304	...	677	80	419	328	90	...	...	747	495	968	200	48·8	38·3	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. X.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

PART I.—APPEALS FROM DECREES.

Class of Courts.	Total No. of appeals before the Court.	Transferred to Courts in other districts.	Appeals summarily rejected.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not pro. ecuted.	Heard ex-parte.				Contested.				Pending.	Of these pending more than 3 months.	Average duration of appeals.	Objections under Section 561, Act X. 1877.	Remarks.
					Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
COURTS IN THE INTEROR.																	
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREE.																	
A.—Civil Courts.																	
Chief Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. of Districts ...	433	...	136	10	1	2	4	6	79	30	76	54	35	2	55·5	...	35
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province	256	...	82	3	...	...	...	...	29	10	27	14	91	42	76·8	...	26
Total ... { 1886...	689	...	218	13	1	2	4	6	108	40	103	68	126	44	61·7	...	61
{ 1885...	737	...	202	27	6	4	6	7	196	58	123	47	61	8	76·	...	119

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. X.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

PART II.—MISCELLANEOUS APPEALS—(Judicial.)

Class of Court	Total number of appeals before the Court	Transferred to Court in other districts	Appeals summarily rejected.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard *ex-parte*. Confirmed.	Heard *ex-parte*. Modified.	Heard *ex-parte*. Reversed.	Heard *ex-parte*. Remanded.	Contested. Confirmed.	Contested. Modified.	Contested. Reversed.	Contested. Remanded.	Pending.	Of these pending more than three months.	Average duration of appeals.	Objections under Sec. 561, Act X of 1877.	Remarks.
1	2	3	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																	
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.																	
A.—Civil Courts.																	
Chief Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ..	...	...	...	...	.	.	.				.	..	...	...	..	...	
Do. of Districts	27	...	8	...	2	.	4		5		6	1	1	...	44·8	...	
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province ...	63	...	33	...	..			..	8	.	6	3	13	5	45·9	...	
Total ... 1886 ..	90	..	41	...	2	..	4	..	13		12	4	14	5	45·6	...	
Total ... 1885 ...	123	.	52	4	3	.	1	.	12	26	14	4	7	...	68·2	...	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XI.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the result of proceedings on applications for the execution of the decrees of the Civil Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

Class of Courts.	Total number of applications for the execution of decrees before the Courts	Applications disposed of: By transfer.	Applications disposed of: Satisfaction obtained in full.	Applications disposed of: Satisfaction obtained in part.	Applications disposed of: Wholly infructuous.	Pending at the end of the year.	Number of applications pending more than three months at the close of the year	Amount realised: With the issue of process.	Amount realised: Without the issue of process.	Number of applications: On which the judgment debtor was imprisoned	On which he was arrested, but released without imprisonment.	On which movable property: Was sold.	On which movable property: Was attached, but subsequently released	On which immovable property: Was sold	On which immovable property: Was dealt with under Sections 305, 322, or 326, Act X of 1877	On which immovable property: Was attached but subsequently released under Section 275	On which possession was given: Of movables	On which possession was given: Of immovables.	On which specific performance was enforced.	On which partition was effected	On which execution was effected otherwise than in the preceding columns.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
								Rs a. p.	Rs a p												
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR																					
I.—CIVIL COURTS.																					
Unpaid Tribunals		..	...		..	..	...	...	...				..							.	..
Village Courts	...			.	..	...	..	...	.	.	..		...		...				..	.	...
Paid Sub Divisional Tribunals	12,018	577	2,250	1,582	5,089	2,617	899	1,03,827 2 4	6,611 3 0	61	183	744	714	672	65	665	4	160		7	324
Small Cause-Courts	6,557	82	989	1,163	3,609	714	92	19,659 11 8	1,270 7 3	27	71	490	410				1	..			116
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	9,072	418	1,157	1,171	3,803	2,422	806	1,15,220 3 0	1,898 5 0	52	20	526	373	470	..	369	13	220	4	6	218
Chief Courts of Districts ...	55	5	10	3	17	20	12	8,521 5 10	..			1	8		...	...	1	2		...	5
Total 1886	27,702	1,077	4,415	3,919	12,518	5,773	1,809	2,47,278 6 10	9,779 15 3	140	283	1,761	1,500	1,142	65	1,034	19	382	4	13	663
Total 1885	24,488	1,903	4,045	3,455	10,203	4,883	1,968	2,46,830 12 7	12,191 4 5	171	178	1,630	1,315	1,019	206	952	32	330	10	9	300

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XII.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the number and result of applications and proceedings under Chapter XX, Act XIV of* 1882 *in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

Class of Courts.	Application for a declaration of insolvency.								Number of insolvents discharged during the year under Section 355.	Number of insolvents' estates in the hands of receivers in which proceedings were finally closed during the year.	Amount of creditors' claims dealt with during the year.		Gross amount of insolvents' assets realized and disbursed.		Remarks.
	Total number for hearing.	Transferred to another district, withdrawn, &c.	Granted		Rejected.		Applicant being sent to the Magistrate to be dealt with.	Pending at the close of the year			Admitted.	Satisfied.	Realized during the year.	Disbursed during the year.	
			A receiver being appointed.	A receiver not being appointed.	Penal proceedings under Section 359 not being taken.	Sentence of imprisonment being passed under Section 359.									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts* ...	2	...	...	..	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	118 11 0	
Chief Courts of Districts ... Akola ...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Basim ...	2	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ellichpur...	4	...	1	1	...	...	...	2	...	...	2,705 0 0	...	...	...	
Small Cause Courts Akola ..	22	10	2	2	...	...	...	8	...	2	...	...	3 5 9	...	
Amraoti	16	11	1	1	2	...	...	1	1		2,625 14 9	136 8 10	234 5 6	146 11 1	
Total ... 1886 ...	47	22	4	4	3	2	...	12	1	2	5,330 14 9	136 8 10	237 11 3	* 265 6 1	* Includes Rs. 118-11 realized in previous year.
1885 ..	48	25	1	7	11	...	...	4	..	...	1,495 2 6	6 12 6	147 6 0	11 3 2	

* Specially empowered under Section 360 Act X of 1877

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. I.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing number and value of suits instituted in the Civil Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

Class of Courts.	No. of suits instituted in the different Courts.																		Total value of suits.	Remarks.
	Value not exceeding Rs. 10.			Value not exceeding Rs. 50.			Value not exceeding Rs. 100.			Value not exceeding Rs. 500.										
	Suits for money or movables.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.	Suits for money or movables.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.	Suits for money or movables.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.	Suits for money or movables.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.	Value not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Value not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Value not exceeding Rs. 10,000.	Value not exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	Value exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	The value of which cannot be estimated in money.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
																				Rs. a. p.	
CIVIL COURTS.																					
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	4	1	...	2	...	...	10	1	...	...	...	...	...	18	3,252 3 0	
VILLAGE COURTS.																					
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals. — Under ordinary procedure ...	988	...	42	5,909	...	239	2,901	...	230	2,010	...	221	156	...	...	...	...	256	12,852	9,59,977 1 6	
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals. — Under Small Cause Court powers ...	18	...	...	75	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	93	2,921 9 2	
Small Cause Courts. — As Small Cause Court Judges ..	491	...	...	3,713	...	...	1,794	...	...	1,652	...	...	103	...	...	...	...	...	7,753	6,19,167 12 7	
Small Cause Courts. — Under powers of Subordinate Judge.	...	...	11	40	...	45	39	...	34	76	...	71	65	52	...	...	...	15	448	1,73,895 14 10	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. — Under ordinary procedure ...	120	...	23	1,003	...	172	747	...	160	1,028	...	339	203	125	...	...	...	161	4,081	7,35,933 0 2	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. — Under Small Cause Court powers ..	56	...	...	629	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	685	18,919 14 10	
Chief Courts of Districts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	5	...	3	1	7	10	6	...	4	40	1,92,217 8 1	
Total ... 1886...	1,673	...	76	11,369	...	460	5,386	...	426	4,771	...	644	529	184	10	6	...	436	25,970	27,06,285 0 2	
Total ... 1885 ..	1,630	...	92	9,942	3	437	4,587	1	368	3,959	...	656	404	213	16	5	1	461	22,775	25,85,293 1 2	

PROVINCIAL

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. II.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of Civil Cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886.

PART I.—CIVIL SUITS.

Class of Courts.	No of suits before the Courts.							No of suits disposed of.														Pending at the close of year	Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year	Average duration of suits					
									Without trial			Without contest				On reference to arbitration		With contest						Contested			Uncontested.		
	Pending from last year.	Instituted during the year	Received by transfer from other Courts	Remanded under Section 562, Act XIV of 1882	Reviewed under Section 629	Reviewed under Sections 69, 108, 108 or 371	Total for disposal	Transferred to other Courts	Plaint rejected or returned	Dismissed for default or want of prosecution	Withdrawn with leave	Compromised	Decreed on confession	Decreed ex-parte	Dismissed ex-parte	For plaintiff	For defendant	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or part	Judgment for defendant.	Total disposed of omitting transfers				No of cases, columns 17 to 20	Aggregate No of days occupied in trial	Average No of days	No of cases (columns 10 to 16)	Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial	Average No of days
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals — Under ordinary procedure	1,889	13,263	469	46	8	228	b 16,903	270	17	1,413	168	1,903	4,606	1,970	102	2	-	2,704	707	13,592	1,685	380	3,413	322,018	94·2	10,179	445,021	43·7
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals — Under Small Cause Court powers.	-	93	103			1	197	105	-	-	11	3	9	33	11	-	-	31	4	93	-	-	25	269	10·7	67	776	11·6
Total	1 889	13 356	572	46	8	229	16,200	1,078	17	1,413	177	1 906	4,615	2,003	113	2		2,735	711	13,684	1,685	380	3,438	322,286	93·7	10,246	445,795	43 5
Small Cause Courts — As Small Cause Court Judges	342	7,703		1	2	51	8,149		9	344	88	1,226	2,885	1,406	29	13	4	1,208	282	7,393	563	27	1,897	44,783	23·7	6,679	124 077	30·6
Small Cause Courts — Under powers of Subordinate Judge	98	486		1	-	11	a 576	-		16	7	59	133	43	4	5	4	106	21	409	119	39	146	16,118	110 4	262	14,518	56·6
Total	440	8 189		2	2	62	a 8,725	...	9	360	95	1,285	3,018	1,541	33	18	8	1,314	313	7,794	682	66	1,653	60,851	36 9	6,341	138,595	21·9
District Courts other than a Chief Courts of Districts. — Under ordinary procedure	585	3,670	729	9	5	92 d	5,100	231	18	146	60	449	1,390	780	29	9	2	1,155	249	c 4,228	606	167	1,414	128,119	91·2	2,852	1,33,074	46 7
District Courts other than a Chief Courts of Districts. — Under Small Cause Court powers	44	685	52			1	782	45	1	7	6	85	201	253		-	-	125	17	695	39		162	3,945	23·9	553	13,964	24·8
Total	629	4,355	781	9	5	93	5,882	276	17	153	66	534	1,591	1,033	29	9	2	1,280	266	4,923	647	167	1,576	132,064	85 1	3,405	146,768	43·1
Chief Courts of Districts	24	40	14			2	80	13	1	13	2	5	2	2		2		11	6	47	20	14	20	5,867	294·1	27	3,068	113·6
Grand Total — 1886	2,902	25,970	1,367	57	15	486	30,887	1,367	44	1,941	341	3,733	9,227	4,559	175	33	10	5,330	1,296	26,696	2,934	887	6,687	631,886	79·2	20,019	734,524	36 7
Grand Total — 1885	2,242	22,775	2,629	54	19	363·2	8,080	2,629	92	1,479	243	3,080	7,621	3,508	151	32	9	5,178	1,114	22,460	2,902	919	6,889	415,498	65·9	16,721	554,991	34 4

a Of these 49 were disposed of by an officer of the 5th grade Judge
b. Do. 3 do do.
c Vide notes under (a) and (b)
d, Of these 47 were disposed of by officers of 3rd and 4th grade

Supplemental Statement showing the number of Civil Cases called up by the Deputy Commissioner for inspection from the Subordinate Courts during the year 1886.

Class of Courts.	No. of cases called up including the balance of last year.	No. of cases transferred to Assistant Commissioners for inspection and report.	No. of cases inspected by the Deputy Commissioner himself	Result of inspection.				No of cases pending inspection at the close of the year.	Remarks.
				Cases in which procedure was found to be correct.	Cases in which errors pointed out and communicated to officers concerned.	No. of cases ordered to be admitted under the Government Notification No. 112-J., dated 19th July 1878.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Akola .. .	200	...	200	178	22	...	200	...	
Buldana ...	225	...	225	210	15	...	225	...	
Basim	678	...	678	420	258	...	678	...	
Amraoti ...	236	...	236	220	15	1	236	...	
Ellichpur ...	136	...	136	122	14	...	136	...	
Wun	145	106	39	86	59	...	145	...	
Total ... 1886...	1,620	106	1,514	1,236	383	1	1,620	...	
Total ... 1885...	1,141	48	960	784	224	...	1,008	133	

PROVINCIAL.

SUPPLEMENT TO JUDICIAL STATEMENT No II —(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the general result of Civil Suits disposed of by each officer in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1886*

PART I —CIVIL SUITS

No	Name of Officer	Designation	Received otherwise: Pending from last year	Received otherwise: Instituted during the year	Received otherwise: Received by transfer from other Courts	Received otherwise: Remanded for re-trial under Act XIV of 1882	Received otherwise: Reviewed under Section 623	Received otherwise: Revived under Section 368, 109 or 372	Received otherwise: Total for disposal	No of suits disposed of: Transferred to other Courts	Without trial: Plaint rejected or returned	Without trial: Dismissed for default or want of prosecution	Without trial: Withdrawn with leave	Without contest: Compromised	Without contest: Decreed on admission	Without contest: Decreed ex parte	Without contest: Dismissed ex parte	On reference to arbitration: For plaintiff	On reference to arbitration: For defendant	With contest: Judgment for plaintiff in whole or part	With contest: Judgment for defendant	Total disposed of suits	Pending at the close of the year	Of these pending more than 3 months at the close of the year	Average duration of suits: Contested: No of cases in lines 19 to 22	Contested: Aggregate No of days occupied in trial	Contested: Average No of days	Uncontested: No of cases (see lines 12 to 18)	Uncontested: Aggregate No of days occupied in trial	Uncontested: Average No of days
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
	AKOLA DISTRICT																													
1	H S Wicholetts Esq	Deputy Commissioner	7	2																										
2	H DeP Rennick ,,	Do		6					15			1	8	2	1			1				8			1	423	423	7	1 061	154 4
3	F W Grant ,,	Do																												
4	Mr Ardeshar Tirmhaji	Extra Assistant Commissioner Akola		12	25			3	40	1						2		4		11	2	20	4	4	14	1 295	92 5	18	474	26 3
5	,, C A W Davies	Assistant Commissioner Akola																		2	1	3			3	321	107 3 7			
6	,, Eshan ul Hakk	Attaché Akot	357																											
7	,, Keshee Rao Jykrishna	Extra Assistant Commissioner, Akot		362				6	725	17		15	16	62	195	39	3			166	34	433			129	7 276	57 7	305	10 865	35 4
8	,, Deo Rao Jykrishna	Do do do		1 393	1	1		1	1,391			49	18	111	384	197	4			233	34	1,241			257	37,151	2139 1	157	17 9 6	23 3
9	Ganesh Bapuji	Do do do		450		1			453			6	4	54	207	69				109	29	518	332	197	133	16,545	4122 4	281	14,729	39 7
10	,, Atmaram Bhikaji	Extra Assistant Commissioner Jalgaon	15	619			1	12	636			9	3	25	191	66				71	31	396			93	3,211	34 1	269	3,497	12 5
11	,, Ambadas Santo	Do do do		1,270		2	1	11	1 284	6	1	25	10	129	559	223	3			222	50	1,227			272	5 642	20 7	1 055	10,501	9 7
12	R D Hare	Asst Comr and Sub-Judge Khamgaon	50	112					162			2		19	54	10		2		44	6	123			57	7,834	137 5	63	3,994	56 8
13	Doctor Nanoy	Honorary Assistant Commissioner and Sub-Judge Khamgaon		18					18									1		3	3	12	6	3	3	862	86 2	3	67	22 3
14	Mr Parmeshwar Rao	Extra Asst. C mr and Sub-Judge Akola	68	69				6	143			6	6	18	23	13	1	1		17	7	101			27	1,501	55 9	24	2,334	47 7
15	,, Yeswhan	Asst Comr and Sub-Judge Akola		247		1		5	253			1	1	24	64	20	3			71	24	178	72	32	53	5,851	3120	126	7 558	62 7
16	,, C A W Davies	Assistant Commissioner Akola												5	9	4				1	1	20			3	486	162 2	17	1,117	64 1
		Total	505	4,295	26	5	2	46	4,850	24	1	147	49	423	1,998	673	18	6	5	873	199	4,382	442	216	1 083	92,585	85 3	3,296	85 061	75 2
	AMRAOTI DISTRICT																													
1	Mr A Elliott	Assistant Comr with power of District Judge	14	1					15				1																	
2	,, Mumsuddin			2	1				3		1			1	1					6	1	10	6	6	8	2,896	362	1	1	1 00
3	,, Ajudia Parsad	Extra Assistant Commissioner									4	18	1	15	32	6	1	2		6	15	126			79	9,761	98 3	77	3,491	34 9
4	,, V N Dandekar	Do do									1	1			3			1		1		6			3	225	98	2	14	18 6
5	Nundy	Honorary Assistant Commissioner									1	15		13	39	11	4			68	16	171			81	8,266	102	69	6 13	8 8 4
6	,, Hyder Ally	Attaché	106	615	18	5	5	30	769	31	2	22	2	6	16	1	3			29	10	94	209	111	20	4 261	135 1	13	4 267	5 4 5
7	,, Murray	Extra Assistant Commissioner									2	2		1	6	3				8		22			11	1 433	130 3	12	776	64 6
8	,, Nusmuddin Hoosain	Assistant Commissioner																		2		2			8	436	117	14	1 346	96 2
9	G S Khaparde	Extra Assistant Commissioner		7					7			5	2	2	9	2				2		22			20	4 163	138 8	25	3 119	124 8
10	V N Dandekar	Do do										9	3	22	163	157	3		1	143	31	532			178	14,019	80 3	285	15 415	54 2
11	Hyder Ally	Attaché	57	627	78	2		7	769	32				10	31	12				15	1	71	91	2	10	515	51 5	4	1 588	26 9
12	V N Dandekar under Small Cause Court powers	Extra Assistant Commissioner	94	434	52			1	511	23	1	6	6	44	157	147				78	13	449	39		68	2,057	23 1	361	9 075	25 1
13	Mr G S Khaparde	Do do	294	1 582	104	11	3	77	2 018	125	5	187	45	191	584	215	21			537	94	1 791	305	134	404	84 231	98 2	1 187	65 457	55 1
14	Do under Small Court powers	Do do		89	103			1	197	105			11	3	9	23	13			21	4	82			25	3 8	10 7	67	724	11 8
15	Mr Hyder Ally	Attaché	1						2			1		1	1					2		6			1	227	227	2	4	2 0
16	,, Krishnaji Anant	Tahsildar	3						3									1		1		2			1	138	138	21	179	8 5
		Total	498	3 805	253	18	8	123	4,905	286	19	275	83	269	1 058	388	58	6	1	783	184	3 212	640	244	849	83,353	98	2 343	114 063	48 7

| | | |

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. II.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of Miscellaneous Cases in the several Courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886.

PART II.—MISCELLANEOUS CASES.—(Judicial.)

Class of Courts.	Suits remaining from last year.	Instituted during the year.	Received otherwise.				Total for disposal.	Transferred to other Courts.	Disposed of										Total of columns 10 to 20, i. e. disposed of, omitting transfers.	Pending at the close of the year.	No of cases pending more than 3 months at the close of the year.	Average duration of cases in column 21.	
			Received by transfer from other Courts.	Remanded under Section 562, Act XIV. of 1882	Reviewed under Sec. 626.	Revived under Sections 90, 108, 108			Without trial.			Without contest.			On reference to arbitration		With contest.					Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No. of days.
									Applications rejected or returned	Dismissed for default or want of prosecution	Withdrawn with leave.	Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed ex-parte.	Dismissed ex-parte.	For plaintiff	For defendant.	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or part.	Judgment for defendant.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Unpaid Tribunals	...	13	...	.	...	...	13	..	...	3	...	1	...	.	...	..	..	3	...	7	16	2	820	117·1
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals. Under ordinary procedure	181	1,520	26	...	4	34	1,765	34	208	225	2	37	298	298	40	..	...	286	210	1,604	264	89	126,567	78·9
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals. Under Small Cause Court powers ..	...	2	...	...	...	..	2	1	...	...			...	1		...	..	...	.	1	.	...	12	12
Small Cause Courts. As Small Cause Court Judges. ..	19	337	1	..	...	33	390	...	35	75	2	14	52	35	5	.	.	79	49	346	44	4	10,532	30·4
Small Cause Courts. Under powers of Subordinate Judge ...	14	130		...	...	19	163	..	9	16	.	3	25	15	3	...		28	11	113	40	12	5,399	47·7
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	237	882	14	...	..	2	1,135	8	108	78	2	16	100	125	38	...		295	163	925	75	5	42,890	46·4
Chief Courts of Districts ..	17	101	2	1	..	1	122	1	37	11	1	.	2	14	4	...	...	19	5	93	28	13	5,465	58 8
Total 1886..	468	2,973	43	1	4	89	3,577	44	397	405	7	70	477	488	90	.	...	707	441	3,082	451	123	190,865	61 9
Total 1885 ..	375	2,838	163	1	8	78	3,463	159	375	296	9	80	419	328	90	...	...	747	495	2,836	468	200	115,633	40·8

PROVINCIAL

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. II-A.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing in detail further particulars and distribution of Civil Work in the several Courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1886.*

Number.	Courts.	For disposal: Suits remaining from last year.	For disposal: Instituted during the year	For disposal: Received otherwise, as referred to in Provincial Judicial Statement No. II., Parts I & II	For disposal: Total.	Disposed of: Total No. of suits desided during the year exclusive of cases transferred to other Courts.	Percentage of cases: Rejected or returned	Dismissed for default	Withdrawn with leave	Compromised	Decreed on confession	Decreed ex-parte	Dismissed ex-parte	By arbitration	Contested and decided in favour of plaintiff	Contested and decided in favour of defendant.	Contested cases in favour of plaintiff to the number contested.	Average duration: In contested cases.	Average duration: In uncontested cases	Number decided by European Judges.	Number decided by Native Judges.	Average number decided by officers of each class: European.	Average number decided by officers of each class: Native.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
1	Akola	505	4,266	79	4,850	4,382	...	3·4	1·1	9·6	45 4	15·4	·4	·3	19·9	4 5	81·2	85·3	25 7	182	4,200	36·4	420
2	Buldana ..	376	2,660	88	3,124	2,848	·1	4·	·6	20·2	27·7	23 7	·2	...	20·2	3·3	85·9	124	45·2	661	2,187	132 2	437·4
3	Basim ...	799	2,602	270	3,671	2,936	·1	18·9	·9	19·7	23·1	9·5	·8	·2	21·1	5·7	78·8	145·2	75·2	407	2,529	203·5	505·8
4	Amraoti ...	474	2,776	347	3,597	2,771	·6	9 7	2·4	8·	32·1	14·6	1·7	·3	24·	6·6	78 6	94·7	54·7	1	2,770	0·5	395·7
5	Ellichpur	128	3,129	783	4,035	3,086	·1	2·9	·9	10·5	36 4	16·9	·5	...	27·2	4·6	85·7	45·9	35·	279	2,807	139 5	701·7
6	Wun ..	329	2 006	147	2,482	2,290	·2	18·1	2·2	12·8	29·	9·7	1 2	...	17·6	9·2	65·6	93·	43·7	33	2,257	16 5	376·2
	Total .. 1886	2,606	17,439	1,714	21,769	18,313	·2	8·7	1·3	13·2	33·5	15·2	·7	·1	21 7	5 4	80·	94·8	44 7	1,563	16,750	86·8	452·7
	1885 ...	2,016	16,704	2,938	21,558	16,585	·4	7·6	1·1	13·1	33 8	15·1	·7	·2	22 9	5·1	81 7	77·9	38·3	2,692	13,893	.	
	Small Cause Courts.																						
1	Akola	56	1,918	18	1,992	1,884	·2	5·1	·8	14 2	40·6	19·1	·4	·1	16·3	3·2	83·7	21·5	16·	..	1,884	...	942
2	Khamgaon ..	154	983	5	1,142	924	...	·9	.	18·7	28·9	16·7	·3	·3	29·4	4·8	85·8	47·2	37·6	779	145	779	145
3	Amraoti ..	132	4,852	31	5,015	4 778	·1	5·	1 5	16·4	38·8	20·6	·4	·3	13·2	3·7	77·9	26·5	19·9	. .	4,778		2,389
4	Murtazapur	24	434	53	511	449	·2	1·3	1·3	9·9	34 9	32·8	..	...	16·7	2·9	85·2	23·1	25·1	.	449	...	449
5	Morsi	...	93	104	197	92	...	...	12·	3·3	9·8	35·9	11·9	. .	22·8	4·3	84·	10·7	11·5	...	92	. .	92
6	Buldana ..	20	251	..	271	246	..	·4	...	16·7	17·9	43·1	...		20 3	1·6	92·6	22·3	24·1	246	...	246	...
	Total . 1886 ..	386	8,53)	211	9,128	8 373	·1	4·2	1·2	15·7	37	21·3	·5	·2	16·2	3·6	81 1	28 8	20·7	1,025	7.348	512·5	1049 7
	1885	226	6,071	125	6,422	5,875	·4	3·6	1·1	14 7	34·4	17·	·4	·2	23·6	4·6	84·1	30·9	23·3	1,220	4,655	...	...
	Grand total 1886 .	2,992	25,970	1,925	30,887	26,686	·2	7·3	1·3	14·	34·6	17·1	·7	·1	19·9	4·8	80·4	78·2	36·7	2,588	24,098	129·4	547·7
	1885 ..	2,242	22,715	3,063	28,080	22,460	·4	6·6	1·1	13·5	33 9	15·6	·7	·2	23·1	4·9	82·3	65·5	34·4	3,912	18,548	...	...

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. III.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1886.

PART I.—APPEALS FROM DECREES.

Class of Courts.	Appeals remaining from last year.	Instituted during the year.	Otherwise received.				Total for disposal.	Transferred to other Courts.	Heard *ex-parte*.					Contested.				Total disposed of omitting transfers.	Pending.	Of those pending more than three months.	Average duration of appeals in column 20		Objections under Section 561, Act XIV. of 1882.
			Received by transfer from other Courts.	Revivals under Section 626, Act XIV. of 1882.	Remands under Section 562.	Revivals under Sections 558, 560.			Decisions confirmed, Section 551.	Dismissed for default, Sections 556, 557, or otherwise not prosecuted.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded under Section 562.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded under Section 562.				Aggregate No. of days the appeals were pending.	Average No. of days pending.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.																								
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts.																								
Akola	15	52	...	...	...	...	67	...	39	...	...	...	2	1	5	4	10	3	64	3	...	4,326	67·6	...
Buldana . .	1	27	.	...	...	. .	28	...	13	...	...	. .	1	...	5	...	6	...	25	3	...	368	14·7	...
Basim	12	114	.	...	...	2	128	...	29	2	...	...	...	...	21	8	25	23	108	20	1	7,039	65·2	...
Amraoti	1	120	. .	...	.	1	128	...	30	2	1	1		1	39	15	21	14	124	4	1	7,396	59·6	...
Ellichpur .. .	..	30	...	...	..	1	31	..	13	2	..	1	1	3	2	3	3	3	31	...	..	1,685	54·3	...
Wun ..	..	49	...	...	...	2	51	...	12	4	...	...	..	1	7	..	11	11	46	5	...	1,274	27·7	...
. Total ..	35	392	...	...	...	6	433	...	136	10	1	2	4	6	79	30	76	54	398	35	2	22,088	55·5	
Judicial Commissioner's Court.																								
Appeals from original decrees ..	24	180	...	2	..	...	206	...	61	2	...	...	..	...	26	10	20	14	133	73	34	10,891	81·9	...
Appeals from appellate decrees ..	2	48	...	...	...	...	50	..	21	1	..	...	...	..	3	..	7	..	32	18	8	1,775	55·5	..
Total ...	26	228	. .	2	...	...	256	...	82	3	..	...	...	...	29	10	27	14	165	91	42	12,666	76·8	...
Grand Total { 1886 ..	61	620	. .	2	..	6	689	...	218	13	1	2	4	6	108	40	103	68	563	126	44	34,754	61·7	...
Grand Total { 1885 ...	119	609	...	4	...	5	737	...	202	27	6	4	6	7	196	58	129	47	676	61	8	50,823	75·2	...

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. III.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1886.*

PART II.—MISCELLANEOUS CASES BEFORE APPELLATE COURTS.

Class of Courts.	Appeals remaining from last year.	Instituted during the year.	Otherwise received.				Total for disposal.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decisions confirmed, Section 551.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard *ex-parte*.				Contested.				Total disposed of omitting transfers.	Pending.	Of these pending more than three months.	Average duration of appeals in column 20.		Objections under Section 561, Act XIV. of 1882.	Remarks.
			Received by transfer from other Courts.	Reviews under section 626, Act XIV of 1882.	Remands under Section 562.	Restored to file under Sections 558, 560.					Rejected.	Modified.	Decreed or granted.	Remanded under Section 562.	Rejected.	Modified.	Decreed or granted.	Remanded under Section 562.				Aggregate No. of days the appeals were pending.	Average No. of days pending		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.																									
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts.																									
Akola ..	1	4	...	...	...	...	5	...	3	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	1	...	4	1	..	483	*120·7	...	
Buldana ..	...	2	..	...	...	...	2	...	1	...	..	..	1	...	...	...	..	..	2	.	...	4	2	.	
Basim ..	...	9	...	..	.	...	9	...	2	...	..	1	..	...	1	...	5	...	9	..	.	354	39·3	...	
Karaoti ..	...	8	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	1	..	2	...	4	...	.	1	8	...	..	279	34·8	...	
Elichpur ..	..	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	..	1	..	...	...	..	..	1	...	...	1	1	...	
Wun ...	...	2		...	...	...	2	...	2	...	..	.	..	...	...	...	..	..	2	..	.	44	22·	...	
Total ...	1	25	.	...	...	1	27	...	8	...	2	..	4	..	5	..	6	1	26	1	.	1,165	44 8	.	
Judicial Commissioner's Court.																									
Miscellaneous appeals	6	57	...	.	...	..	63	...	33	...	...	...	..	.	8	..	6	3	50	13	5	2,297	45·9	. .	
Total .	6	57			..	.	63	...	33	..	..	...	...	...	8	.	6	3	50	13	5	2,297	45 9	...	
Grand Total { 1886 .	7	92	...	...	...	1	90	..	41	.	2	...	4	...	13	.	12	4	76	14	5	3,462	45 6	..	
Grand Total { 1885 .	23	99	...	1	...	...	123	...	52	4	3	...	1	...	12	26	14	4	116	7	...	8,579	74·	...	

* The average is so high on account of the Court being engaged in other work.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. III-A.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the value and cost of Appeals decided by the Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886

Districts.	Total number disposed of.		Aggregate cost of appeals.			Average cost of appeals.			Percentage of costs to value.			Average value of appeals.	Remarks.
	Appeals.	Value.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES OF WHICH VALUE CAN BE FIXED.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Akola	62	7,338 5 8	765 10 0	235 1 5	1,000 11 5	12 5 7	3 12 8	16 2 3	10 7 0	3 3 3	13 10 3	118 5 3	
Buldana	24	3,442 15 5	375 10 0	104 3 0	479 13 0	15 10 5	4 5 6	19 15 11	10 14 6	3 0 5	13 14 11	143 7 3	
Basim	168	7,041 0 2	908 6 0	317 11 3	1,226 1 3	8 13 1	3 1 4	11 14 5	12 14 5	4 8 2	17 6 7	69 11 5	2
Amraoti	112	16,730 4 2	1,339 13 0	356 3 0	2,365 0 0	16 5 3	4 15 5	21 4 8	10 14 7	3 3 1	14 3 8	149 11 7	
Ellichpur	31	1,679 0 4	158 6 0	48 2 0	246 8 0	6 6 5	1 8 10	7 15 3	11 13 1	2 13 11	14 11 0	55 14 11	1
Wun	41	5,583 14 2	361 0 0	66 1 6	427 1 6	8 12 11	1 9 9	10 6 8	9 8 8	1 11 11	11 4 7	94 9 7	1
Total	373	40,041 7 11	4,457 13 0	1,327 6 2	5,765 3 2	11 14 4	3 8 11	15 7 3	11 1 4	3 5 0	14 6 4	108 8 3	4
APPEALS OF WHICH VALUE CANNOT BE FIXED.													
Akola	2	...	27 9 0	5 0 0	32 9 0	13 12 6	2 8 0	16 4 6	...	...	...	...	
Buldana	1	...	13 13 0	0 4 0	25 1 0	13 13 0	0 4 0	23 1 0	...	...	...	...	
Basim	5	...	71 7 0	40 4 0	111 11 0	14 4 7	8 0 9	22 5 4	...	...	...	...	
Amraoti	12	...	149 7 0	25 0 0	174 7 0	12 7 3	2 1 4	14 8 7	...	...	...	...	
Ellichpur	... 5	...	84 13 0	9 0 0	93 13 0	16 15 5	1 12 9	18 12 2	...	...	...	...	
Wun		...							...	...	...	...	
Total	25	...	347 1 0	88 8 9	435 9 0	13 14 1	3 8 8	17 6 9	...	...	...	...	
MISCELLANEOUS APPEALS.*													
Akola	4	...	6 5 0	1 8 0	7 13 0	1 9 3	0 6 0	1 15 3	...	...	...	...	
Buldana	2	...	5 7 0	6 15 0	6 6 0	2 11 6	0 7 6	3 3 0	...	...	...	...	
Basim	9	...	17 9 0	12 12 0	30 5 0	1 15 3	1 6 8	3 5 11	...	...	...	...	
Amraoti	8	...	13 2 3	3 12 0	16 14 0	1 10 3	0 7 6	2 1 9	...	...	...	...	
Ellichpur	1	...	0 8 0	...	0 8 0	0 8 0	...	0 8 0	...	...	...	...	
Wun	2	...	2 10 0	...	2 10 0	1 5 0	...	1 5 0	...	...	...	...	
Total	26	...	45 9 0	18 15 0	64 8 0	1 12 0	0 11 8	2 7 8	...	...	...	...	
JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER'S COURT.													
Appeals from original decrees of which value can be fixed	123	63,826 10 1	4,095 3 6	1,886 11 0	5,981 14 8	33 4 9	15 5 5	48 10 2	6 6 8	2 15 4	9 6 0	518 14 8	
Appeals of which value cannot be fixed ...	10	...	188 10 0	32 2 0	210 12 0	18 13 9	5 3 5	24 1 2	...	...	...	...	
Do. appellate decrees of which value can be fixed	25	2,962 12 2	341 7 0	56 14 0	398 5 0	13 10 6	2 4 5	15 14 11	14 7 1	2 6 6	16 13 7	94 8 10	
Do. do. of which value cannot be fixed ...	7	...	89 14 0	17 3 0	107 1 0	12 13 5	2 7 3	15 4 8	...	...	...	...	
Miscellaneous appeals	50	...	209 3 0	39 8 0	248 11 0	4 3 11	0 12 8	4 15 7	...	...	...	...	
Total	215	66,190 6 3	4,894 5 6	2,052 6 0	6,946 11 6	22 12 3	9 8 9	32 5 0	7 6 4	2 1 7	10 7 11	447 3 8	
Grand Total ... 1886	639	1,06,231 14 2	9,724 12 6	3,487 3 2	13,211 15 8	15 3 6	5 7 4	20 10 10	9 2 6	3 4 6	12 7 0	205 7 8	
Grand Total ... 1885	792	1,57,791 13 9	13,849 10 2	7,202 11 2	21,052 5 4	17 7 9	9 1 6	26 9 3	8 12 5	4 9 1	13 5 6	254 8 0	

* Includes appeals from orders under Section 588 Act XIV. of 1882 appeals in miscellaneous cases, and those under Government Notification No. 113-J., dated 19th July 1878.

Supplemental Statement showing the value and costs of appeals decided in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1886.

Value of appeals.	No. of appeals disposed of.	Aggregate value of appeals.	Aggregate cost of appeals.	Average value of appeals.	Average cost of appeals.	Percentage of costs to value.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Not exceeding Rupees 10 ...	29	219 8 4	162 9 10	7 9 1	5 9 9	74 1 2	
Do. „ 50 ...	150	4,856 12 8	1,014 6 4	32 13 1	6 12 2	20 14 2	2 Re-filed.
Do. „ 100 ...	132	10,001 5 1	1,554 4 8	76 14 11	11 12 5	15 8 8	2 do.
Do. „ 500 ...	164	35,670 8 6	4,304 7 4	217 8 1	26 3 11	12 1 1	
Do. „ 1,000 ...	30	21,042 2 9	2,319 3 0	701 6 6	77 4 11	11 0 4	
Exceeding „ 1,000 ...	16	34,441 8 10	2,790 7 6	2,152 9 7	174 6 6	8 1 8	
Total ...	521	1,06,231 14 2	12,145 6 8	205 7 8	23 7 10	11 6 11	4 do.
Appeals of which value cannot be fixed ...	42	...	753 6 0	...	17 12 9	...	
Miscellaneous appeals	76	...	313 3 0	...	4 1 11	...	
Grand Total ... 1886 ...	639	1,06,231 14 2	13,211 15 8	205 1 8	20 10 10	12 7 0	
Grand Total ... 1885 ...	792	1,57,791 13 9	21,052 5 4	254 8 1	26 9 3	13 5 6	

PROVINCIAL.

Judicial Statement No. IV.—(Civil)—*Statement showing the result of proceedings on applications for the execution of decrees in the several courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1886.*

Class of Courts.	No. of applications dealt with.					Applications disposed of.							Details of entries in column 9.						Amount realized.		No. of applications.												Remarks.
	Pending at the end of 1885.	Filed.*				Transferred.																	On which movable property.		On which immovable property.				On which possession was given.				
		The decrees being those of the Court where execution is taken out.	The decrees being those of other Courts transferred for execution.	Restored to the file for any cause.	Total.	To another Court, under Section 223.	To the Collector, under Section 320.	Wholly or partly satisfied.	Wholly infructuous.	Total.	Pending at the end of 1886.	No. of applications pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Satisfaction obtained in full.	Satisfaction obtained in part.	Satisfaction obtained through the Court.	Adjusted under Section 258.	Satisfaction obtained with the issue of process.	Satisfaction obtained without the issue of process.	With the issue of process.	Without the issue of process.	On which judgment debtor was imprisoned.	On which he was arrested under Section 336, but released without imprisonment.	Was sold.	Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 275.	Was sold.	Was dealt with under Sections 305, 332, or 320.	Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 275.	On which specific performance was enforced.	Of movable, Section 259.	Of immovable, Sections 263 and 264.	On which partition was effected. Section 266.	On which execution was effected, otherwise than in the preceding columns.	Note the No. of entries in columns 4 and 6, where the decrees have been transferred from or to another district or province.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
																			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.													
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																																	
Civil Courts.																																	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals. Under ordinary procedure. Under Small Cause Court powers.	2,009	9,089	573	3	11,665	186	391	3,841	5,089	9,507	2,617	899	2,259	1,582	2,423	1,418	3,759	82	1,03,827 2 4	6,611 3 0	61	183	744	714	672	65	665	...	4	160	7	324	
Small Cause Courts. As Small Cause Court Judges. Under powers of Subordinate Judge.	760	5,774	21	2	6,557	82	...	2,152	3,609	5,845	714	92	889	1,163	780	1,372	248	34	19,659 11 8	1,270 7 3	27	71	490	610	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	116	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of District. Under ordinary procedure. Under Small Cause Court powers.	2,093	6,217	1,115	...	9,425	61	352	2,338	3,803	6,544	2,422	806	1,157	1,171	1,579	749	2,298	30	1,15,270 3 0	1,898 5 0	52	29	526	373	470	...	369	4	13	220	6	218	
Chief Court of District	21	30	4	...	55	3	2	13	17	35	20	12	10	3	11	2	12	1	8,521 5 10	...	...	...	1	3	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	5	
Total ... 1886	4,883	21,101	1,713	5	27,702	332	745	8,334	12,518	21,929	5,773	1,809	4,415	3,919	4,793	3,551	8,187	147	2,47,278 6 10	9,779 15 3	140	283	1,761	1,500	1,142	65	1,034	4	19	382	13	663	
Total ... 1885	4,115	17,772	2,207	94	24,888	1,064	838	7,560	10,203	19,605	4,883	1,903	4,045	3,455	4,553	94	7,318	182	2,46,830 12 7	12,191 4 5	171	178	1,639	1,315	1,019	206	952	10	22	330	9	390	

* Including verbal applications under Section 258.

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. V.—(CIVIL.)—*Proceedings in Insolvency under Chap. XX. of Act XIV. of* 1882 *in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886.

PART I.—*Showing the number and result of applications under Section 344 and the number of Insolvents before the Court.*

Class of Courts.	Applications for a declaration of insolvency.															Persons declared to be insolvents.									
	No. of applications dealt with.						Applications disposed of.									No. of insolvents before the Court.				Disposed of.					
		Filed during the year.							Granted.		Rejected.											Discharged.			
		By judgment debtors.																							
	Pending at the close of the previous year.	Under arrest or imprisonment.	Not under arrest or imprisonment.	By decree holders.	Received by transfer.	Total.	Transferred.	Withdrawn or otherwise not prosecuted.	A receiver being appointed.	A receiver not being appointed.	Penal proceedings under Section 359 not being taken.	Sentence of imprisonment being passed under Section 359.	Applicant being sent to the magistrate to be dealt with.	Total.	Pending at the close of the year.	Remaining at the close of the previous year.	Declared to be insolvents during the year.	Received by transfer.	Total.	Transferred.	Died.	Under Section 351.	Under Section 355.	Remaining at the close of the year.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts specially empowered ...	4	22	13	...	1	40	...	21	3	3	2	2	...	31	9	1	19	...	20	...	...	14	1	5	
Chief Courts of Districts...	...	7	...	...	...	7	1	...	1	1	1	...	...	4	3	...	2	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	
Superior Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	
Total ... 1886 ...	4	29	13	...	1	47	1	21	4	4	3	2	...	35	12	1	21	...	22	...	...	16	1	5	
Total ... 1885 ...	4	36	3	...	5	48	...	25	1	7	11	...	...	44	4	2	12	5	19	...	...	18	...	1	

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. V.—(CIVIL)—*Proceedings in Insolvency under Chapter XX. of Act XIV. of* 1882 *in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886.

Part II.—*Showing the number of Estates in the hands of Receivers and the progress made in winding them up.*

Class of Courts.	Number of insolvents' estates in the hands of receivers.				Amount of creditors' claims dealt with during the year.					Amount of insolvents' assets realized and outstanding.				Remarks.
	Pending at the close of the previous year.	Added in charge of a receiver during the year.	No. of estates in regard to which proceedings were finally closed during the year.	Pending at the close of the year.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of the previous year.	Admitted.	Disallowed.	Satisfied.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of the year	Amount of realized assets in the hans of receivers at the close of the previous year.	Gross amount realized during the year.	Total amount of disbursements.	Amount remaining in the hands of receivers at the close of the year.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	s. a p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts specially empowered...	2	4	...	6	28,303 15 2	2,625 14 9	...	136 8 10	30,793 5 1	398 2 1	237 11 3	265 6 1	370 7 3	
Chief Courts of Districts.	...	1	...	1	...	2,705 0 0	...	...	2,705 0 0	...	...	...	...	
Superior Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ... 1886...	2	5	...	7	28,303 15 2	5,330 14 9	...	136 8 10	33,498 5 1	398 2 1	237 11 3	265 6 1	370 7 3	
Total ... 1885...	2	...	...	2	26,815 9 2	1,495 2 6	...	6 12 6	28,303 15 2	143 4 3	147 6 0	11 3 2	398 2 1	

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VI.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the value and costs of Original Suits and Miscellaneous Cases (Judicial) decided in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886.

No.	Districts.	Total number disposed of and value.		Aggregate costs of suits and miscellaneous cases.			Average cost of suits and miscellaneous cases.			Percentage of costs to value of suits.			Average value of suits.	Remarks.
		Suits.	Value.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	ORIGINAL SUITS OF WHICH VALUE CAN BE FIXED.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
1	Akola	4,315	6,05,239 0 0	56,988 13 9	21,050 13 5	78,039 10 2	13 1 10	4 13 6	17 15 4	9 6 7	3 7 8	12 14 3	141 1 4	55
2	Buldana	2,907	3,05,015 4 9	32,359 0 1	12,743 13 8	45,102 13 9	11 8 6	4 8 7	16 1 1	10 9 9	4 9 96	14 12 7	110 1 9	36
3	Basim	2,674	2,45,923 8 8	27,531 12 9	8,191 7 0	35,723 3 9	9 9 3	2 13 7	12 6 10	11 3 1	3 5 4	14 8 5	89 9 11	123
4	Amraoti	2,535	5,10,233 2 10	45,760 5 4	17,732 0 2	63,492 5 6	18 0 10	6 15 11	25 0 9	8 15 6	3 7 7	12 7 1	208 15 1	93
5	Ellichpur	3,057	3,59,096 9 4	39,184 15 0	15,221 1 0	54,386 0 0	12 12 11	4 15 7	17 12 6	10 14 6	4 3 9	15 2 3	118 6 11	25
6	Wun	2,184	1,39,344 6 9	18,304 10 6	3,278 15 2	21,583 7 8	8 6 1	1 8 0	9 14 1	18 2 2	2 5 7	15 7 9	63 14 8	70
	Total ...	17,902	21,64,852 0 4	2,20,109 8 5	78,218 9 5	2,98,327 8 10	12 5 16	4 6 4	16 12 2	10 2 8	3 9 10	13 12 6	124 7 6	407
	SUITS OF WHICH VALUE CANNOT BE FIXED.													
1	Akola	37	...	791 6 0	301 12 0	1,093 2 0	21 6 2	8 2 6	29 8 8	...	...	...	...	...
2	Buldana	41	...	639 7 0	281 5 5	920 12 5	15 9 6	6 13 9	22 7 3	...	...	...	...	...
3	Basim	62	...	1,297 15 0	240 2 0	1,537 1 0	20 12 4	4 0 3	24 12 7	...	...	...	...	...
4	Amraoti	236	...	3,975 2 0	988 8 0	4,963 10 0	16 13 6	3 12 3	20 9 9	...	...	...	...	...
5	Ellichpur	29	...	648 5 0	263 5 0	911 8 0	22 5 8	9 1 3	31 6 11	...	...	...	...	...
6	Wun	106	...	2,229 2 0	496 15 8	2,726 1 8	21 0 6	4 11 0	25 11 6	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	511	...	9,571 5 0	2,480 14 1	12,052 3 1	18 11 8	4 11 2	23 6 10	...	...	...	...	...
	MISCELLANEOUS CASES.													
1	Akola	593	...	1,976 6 0	746 11 0	2,723 1 0	3 5 4	1 4 2	4 9 6	...	...	...	...	...
2	Buldana	351	...	587 14 0	329 5 5	917 3 5	1 10 9	0 15 0	2 9 9	...	...	...	...	...
3	Basim	375	...	472 2 0	76 5 0	548 8 0	1 4 1	0 2 3	1 7 4	...	...	...	...	...
4	Amraoti	774	...	2,071 9 11	565 10 11	2,637 4 10	2 10 10	0 12 1	3 6 11	...	...	...	...	...
5	Ellichpur	410	...	824 15 0	481 0 0	1,305 15 0	2 0 2	1 2 9	3 2 11	...	...	...	...	...
6	Wun	232	...	375 6 0	45 0 7	420 6 7	1 9 11	0 3 1	1 13 0	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	2,735	...	6,308 5 11	2,264 0 11	8,572 6 10	2 4 11	0 13 3	3 2 2	...	...	...	...	...
	SMALL CAUSE COURT CASES.													
1	Akola	1,884	1,31,941 5 6	14,922 1 0	967 0 0	15,889 1 0	7 14 9	0 8 2	8 6 11	11 4 10	0 11 9	12 0 7	70 9 6	15
2	Khamgaon	924	75,216 2 0	9,392 1 0	504 0 0	9,866 1 0	10 2 1	0 8 9	10 10 10	12 7 2	0 10 9	13 1 11	81 10 9	3
3	Amraoti	4,778	3,89,390 1 2	48,331 2 5	2,127 12 0	50,458 14 5	10 1 10	0 7 2	10 9 0	12 7 1	0 8 9	12 15 10	81 13 1	31
4	Buldana	946	4,339 6 6	838 12 0	311 3 0	1,149 15 0	3 13 7	1 4 2	5 1 3	21 8 6	7 2 3	28 10 9	17 11 6	...
5	Murtazapur	} 541	14,801 2 4	2,269 5 3	577 9 6	2,846 14 9	4 3 1	1 1 1	5 4 2	15 5 2	3 14 6	19 3 8	27 5 9	...
6	Morsi	}												
	Total ...	8,573	6,14,798 1 6	75,823 5 8	4,487 8 6	80,310 14 2	8 0 11	0 8 7	9 9 6	12 5 4	0 11 8	13 1 0	73 13 7	49
	MISCELLANEOUS CASES.													
1	Akola	64	...	145 0 0	10 0 0	155 0 0	2 4 3	0 2 6	2 6 9	...	...	...	...	...
2	Khamgaon	61	...	213 4 0	11 0 0	223 4 0	3 7 8	0 2 11	3 10 7	...	...	...	...	...
3	Amraoti	221	...	644 5 0	23 2 0	667 7 0	2 14 8	0 1 8	3 0 4	...	...	...	...	...
4	Murtazapur and Morsi ...	1	...	0 5 0	...	0 5 0	0 5 0	...	0 5 0	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	347	...	1,001 14 0	44 2 0	1,046 0 0	2 14 2	0 2 0	3 0 2	...	...	...	...	...
	Grand Total ... {1886 ...	29,768	27,79,560 1 10	3,12,814 7 0	87,494 9 11	4,00,309 0 11	10 8 2	2 15 0	13 7 2	11 4 1	3 2 4	14 6 5	108 1 3	456
	{1885 ...	25,296	23,64,787 9 11	2,66,149 6 0	71,929 13 9	3,38,079 3 1	10 8 4	2 13 6	13 5 10	11 4 1	3 0 8	14 4 9	108 14 0	371

Supplemental Statement showing the value and costs of Original Suits decided in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1886.

Value of suits.	No. of suits disposed of.	Aggregate value of suits.	Aggregate cost of suits.	Average value of suits.	Average cost of suits.	Percentage of costs to value.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Not exceeding Rupees 10 ...	1,772	12,268 10 5	4,920 8 2	7 1 5	2 12 5	40 1 8	41
Do. „ 50 ...	12,099	3,45,047 9 5	66,923 13 6	28 14 3	5 8 6	19 6 4	153
Do. „ 100 ...	5,877	4,06,998 13 2	62,688 4 7	70 10 9	10 10 8	15 6 5	118
Do. „ 500 ...	5,632	10,74,281 4 7	1,42,488 9 5	194 11 7	25 4 10	13 4 3	115
Do. „ 1,000 ...	550	3,75,689 12 10	43,983 2 10	706 2 11	79 15 6	11 11 4	18
Do. „ 5,000 ...	223	3,56,228 15 2	41,189 4 10	1,675 9 7	184 11 3	11 9 0	11
Do. „ 10,000 ...	15	94,841 7 9	8,597 0 6	6,322 12 3	573 2 2	9 1 0	...
Exceeding „ 10,000 ...	7	1,14,203 8 6	7,847 11 2	16,314 12 8	1,121 1 7	6 13 11	...
Total ...	26,175	27,79,560 1 10	3,78,638 7 0	108 1 2	14 7 5	13 9 11	456
Suits of which value cannot be fixed ...	511	...	12,052 3 1	...	23 9 4	...	...
Total ... 1886 ..	26,686	27,79,560 1 10	3,90,690 10 1	108 1 2	14 10 3	14 0 11	456
Total ... 1885 ...	22,460	23,64,787 9 11	3,27,407 14 10	108 14 0	14 9 5	13 13 6	371

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VII.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing litigation regarding Survey Nos. in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886.

Number.	Districts.	Suits relating to Survey Nos. included in column 20, Judicial Statement No. VII.					Suits to declare or establish right in Survey Nos. included in column 23, Judicial Statement No. VII.
		Suits to recover possession under Sections 8 and 9 of the Specific Relief Act.	Other suits between parties claiming as proprietors.	Others suits between the proprietors of Survey Nos. and sub-tenants.	Suits not included in the foregoing columns.	Total No. of suits.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Akola ...	5	27	26	48	106	6
2	Buldana ...	12	19	15	57	103	7
3	Basim ...	14	26	16	25	81	14
4	Amraoti ...	13	134	92	92	331	144
5	Ellichpur ...	5	10	13	45	73	7
6	Wun ...	6	7	2	47	62	2
	Total ... 1886...	55	223	164	314	756	180
	Total ... 1885...	45	347	146	351	889	227

N. B.—The word proprietor in this statement to be understood as including co-occupants and co-sharers in rolled khatedárs.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VII.-A.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing litigation regarding Watandari Suits in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886.

Description of Watans.	Watandari suits included in columns 21 and 24 of Judicial Statement No. VII.						
	Akola.	Buldana.	Basim.	Amraoti.	Ellichpur.	Wun.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Deshmukhs ...	2	2	...	8	...	...	12
Deshpandias ...	2	...	..	4	...	...	6
Patels	...	...	3	5	...	2	10
Patwaries ...	...	...	...	3	...	...	3
Mullas and Kazis ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mahars	3	3	2	6	1	1	16
Joshpan	3	...	...	5	1	...	9
Other watandari suits not included above...	1	1	1	...	...	...	3
Total ... 1886...	11	6	6	31	2	3	59
Total ... 1885...	10	7	23	17	12	2	71

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VIII.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the disposal of land or other immovable property under Sections 321 and 323 of the Code of Civil Procedure in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts for the year* 1886.

Number	Districts.	Number of instances in which land paying revenue to Government was attached.	Number of instances in which immovable property was dealt with under Section 321 of the Code of Civil Procedure.	Number of instances in which the Deputy Commissioner as Collector took steps under Section 321 of the Code of Civil Procedure.					No. of instances in which the sales of land recommended.		No. of instances in which the sales actually took place.		Period for which the temporary alienation was made.				
				A.	B.	C.	D.	E.	Self-acquired.	Ancestral.	Self-acquired.	Ancestral.	For 1 year and under.	For 3 years and under.	For 5 years and under.	For 10 years and under.	Above 10 and not exceeding 20 years.
1	2	3	4	5					6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Akola ...	411	226	...	...	70	71	85	46	83	34	36	2	11	19	26	13
2	Buldana ...	52	30	...	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	2	...	...	2	...	...
3	Basim ...	59	24	...	...	...	8	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	8	3	1
4	Amraoti ...	281	123	...	...	14	21	21	14	26	7	7	3	6	1	9	2
5	Ellichpur ...	285	172	...	...	...	31	34	6	16	6	...	3	10	6	12	...
6	Wun ...	31	1	...	...	...	12	...	1	4	3	4	1	2	2	6	1
	Total. 1886	1,119	576	...	...	84	145	140	67	132	52	49	9	30	33	56	17
	Total. 1885	1,124	332	8	...	113	175	65	139	117	85	66	8	37	45	57	28

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IX.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the number of Civil Debtors imprisoned and released in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886.

No.	Courts.	Remained from last year.	Imprisoned during the year.	Total.	Released during the year.	Remaining at the close of the year.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Akola...	8	29	32	26	6	
2	Buldana	1	13	14	14	...	
3	Basim	...	22	22	18	4	
4	Amraoti	1	24	25	24	1	
5	Ellichpur	...	31	31	30	1	
6	Wun	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ...	5	119	124	112	12	
	Small Cause Courts.						
1	Akola...	1	9	10	10	...	
2	Khamgaon	...	1	1	...	1	
3	Amraoti	...	21	21	17	4	
	Total ...	1	31	32	27	5	
	Grand Total ... 1886...	6	150	156	139	17	
	Grand Total ... 1885...	17	174	191	185	6	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. X.—(CIVIL.)—*Statement showing the amounts realized under different heads of the (... districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1886.

No.	Courts,	Amount realized on account of Court Fees. In labels. Civil.	Criminal.	Revenue.	Total.	Amount realized on account of Process Fees. In labels. Civil.	Criminal.	Revenue.	Total.	Grand total of columns 6 and 10.	Total cost of Process Service Establishment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	Akola	50,790 2 0	2,174 2 0	...	52,964 4 0	14,601 11 0	2,505 8 0	...	17,107 3 0	70,071 7 0	12,233 12 9
2	Buldana	25,778 6 0	1,611 1 0	...	27,389 7 0	6,993 14 0	1,595 0 0	...	8,498 14 0	35,888 5 0	6,203 8 6
3	Basim	19,057 8 0	1,406 12 0	...	20,464 4 0	6,902 4 0	1,085 12 0	...	7,988 0 0	28,452 4 0	6,291 1 11
4	Amraoti... ...	43,290 7 0	2,592 14 0	...	45,883 5 0	13,038 3 0	3,098 4 0	...	16,136 7 0	62,019 12 0	11,026 6 6
5	Ellichpur ...	35,135 3 0	822 6 0	...	35,957 9 0	10,183 14 0	921 7 0	...	11,105 5 0	47,062 14 0	7,692 2 6
6	Wun	14,305 5 0	625 5 0	...	14,930 10 0	4,695 13 0	538 6 0	..	5,234 3 0	20,164 13 0	5,409 13 6
	Total ...	1,88,356 15 0	9,232 8 0	...	1,97,589 7 0	56,415 11 0	9,654 5 0	...	66,070 0 0	2,63,659 7 0	48,856 13 8
	Small Cause Courts.										
1	Akola	13,428 13 0	...	...	13,428 13 0	4,350 3 0	...	...	4,350 3 0	17,779 0 0	2,989 10 2
2	Khamgaon ...	7,409 5 0	...	...	7,409 5 0	2,670 15 0	...	...	2,670 15 0	10,080 4 0	2,182 14 8
3	Amraoti	35,156 8 0	...	...	35,156 8 0	10,331 14 0	...	...	10,331 14 0	45,488 6 0	3,893 8 1
	Total ...	55,994 10 0	...	...	55,994 10 0	17,353 0 0	...	...	17,353 0 0	73,347 10 0	9,066 0 11
	Sub-Judges as Small Cause Court Judges.										
1	Buldana	664 14 0	...	...	664 14 0	360 4 0	...	...	360 4 0	1,025 2 0	...
2	Morsi and Murtazapur.	1,530 7 0	...	...	1,530 7 0	657 0 0	...	...	657 0 0	2,187 7 0	...
	Total ...	2,195 5 0	...	...	2,195 5 0	1,017 4 0	...	...	1,017 4 0	3,212 9 0	...
1	Judicial Comr.'s Court.	7,065 15 0	22 0 0	...	7,087 15 0	121 9 0	...	...	121 9 0	7,209 8 0	...
	Total ... { 1886...	2,53,612 13 0	9,254 8 0	...	2,62,867 5 0	74,907 8 0	9,654 5 0	...	84,561 13 0	3,47,429 2 0	57,922 14 7
	{ 1885...	2,29,789 9 0	9,973 11 0	...	2,39,763 4 0	82,979 10 0	13,427 15 0	...	96,407 9 0	3,36,170 13 0	56,640 3 5

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XI.—(CIVIL)—*Statement of processes issued and the amount realized and expended in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1886.*

No.	Courts.	No. of processes issued.	Amount realized on account of processes.	Establishment.				Balance.	Average No. of processes served by each process server.	Average distance travelled by process server.
				Nazirs, &c.	Process servers.	Contingencies.	Total.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
1	Akola	47,190	17,107 3 0	5,027 13 6	7,205 15 3	...	12,233 12 0	4,873 6 3	625	7
2	Buldana	24,166	8,468 14 0	2,455 8 9	3,747 15 9	...	6,203 0 6	2,295 5 6	459·4	5
3	Basim	22,026	7,688 0 0	2,407 1 6	3,884 0 5	...	6,291 1 11	1,096 14 1	547	5
4	Amraoti	35,731	13,003 1 0	4,821 7 6	6,168 15 0	30 0 0	11,020 6 6	5,767 0 6	536	4
5	Ellichpur	27,730	11,105 5 0	2,835 0 0	4,857 2 6	...	7,692 2 6	3,413 2 6	594·1	...
6	Wun	15,642	5,234 3 0	2,039 13 8	3,352 15 10	...	5,409 13 6	...	235·5	...
	Total ...	172,625	66,536 10 0	19,603 12 11	29,217 0 9	30 0 0	48,856 13 8	18,405 12 10	55	...
	SMALL CAUSE COURTS.									
1	Buldana	1,928	330 4 0	...	...	...	...	330 4 0	...	...
2	Amraoti	...	190 6 0	...	...	...	...	190 6 0	...	...
3	Akola	10,822	4,320 3 0	1,020 0 0	1,725 8 6	171 1 8	2,916 10 2	1,020 8 10	555	2,297
4	Khamgaon	8,256	2,670 15 0	980 9 4	1,187 12 4	10 0 0	2,182 14 8	488 0 4	616	1,670
5	Amraoti	26,901	10,331 14 0	1,811 13 9	2,051 10 4	...	3,863 8 1	6,468 5 11	1,810	4,904
	Total ...	47,907	17,843 10 0	3,810 6 1	4,964 0 2	197 10 8	8,965 0 11	8,857 0 1	1012·9	1920·4
1	Judicial Commissioner's Court ...	...	121 9 0	...	...	...	...	121 9 0	...	...
	Grand Total ... (1886	220,532	84,501 13 0	23,414 3 0	34,175 0 11	233 10 8	57,822 14 7	27,384 14 11	611·8	1952·6
	(1885	198,823	86,407 10 0	22,613 7 7	32,354 3 5	460 8 2	55,640 3 5	29,767 6 7	559·7	2,060

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XII.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the average detention of Witnesses in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1886.*

Number.	Courts.	No. of witnesses who attended.	Period of detention.												No. of witnesses examined.	No. of witnesses allowed to go unexamined.	Remarks.
			For 1 day.	For 2 days.	For 3 days.	For 4 days.	For 5 days.	For 6 days.	For 7 days.	For 8 days.	For 9 days.	For 10 days.	Up to one month.	Total.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Akola	5,536	4,714	590	179	36	7	10	...	...	...	...	...	5,536	3,267	2,269	
2	Buldana	5,138	4,161	490	342	125	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,138	2,224	2,914	
3	Basim	2,903	2,815	54	16	4	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,903	2,484	419	
4	Amraoti	6,180	5,745	270	103	42	13	7	...	...	...	...	...	6,180	3,565	2,615	
5	Ellichpur	6,230	6,189	41	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,230	2,649	3,581	
6	Wun	2,376	2,132	183	39	17	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	2,376	1,723	653	
	Total ...	28,363	25,756	1,628	679	224	54	22	...	...	...	...	...	28,363	15,912	12,451	
	Sub-Judges exercising Small Cause Court powers.																
1	Buldana	126	126	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	126	125	1	
2	Morsi and Murtazapur ...	631	629	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	631	278	353	
	Total ...	757	755	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	757	403	354	
	Small Cause Courts.																
1	Akola	2,646	2,473	124	41	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,646	869	1,777	
2	Khamgaon	2,523	2,341	176	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,523	950	1,573	
3	Amraoti	8,904	8,713	181	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,904	2,110	6,794	
	Total ...	14,073	13,527	481	57	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14,073	3,929	10,144	
	Grand Total ... (1886 ...	43,193	40,038	2,111	736	232	54	22	...	...	...	...	...	43,193	20,244	22,949	
	(1885 ...	36,666	33,811	2,318	406	100	11	20	...	...	...	...	...	36,666	19,432	17,134	

JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
The 4th April 1887.

H. SZCZEPANSKI,
Offg. Judicial Commissioner,
Hyderabad Assigned Districts.